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1.0 Introduction

The Walking the Way to Health Wales (WW2H) Scheme aims to increase health and well-being by promoting regular exercise through the activity of walking. There is one grant-aided project per county (22 in total), as well as a small number of non grant-aided projects. Over the three years that WW2H projects run, 80,000 people are expected to participate and benefit.

The specific targets of the Scheme are:
- 80,000 people walking who are from areas of poor health or who were previously inactive
- improved health and well-being of participants as a result
- small-scale improvements on the ground to make local areas more accessible and safe for walking
- training and support for every professional and volunteer involved
- the implementation of an accreditation scheme to recognise good quality projects
- the espousal of the WW2H model by the health mainstream in Wales, and receiving mainstream funding from 2006 onwards

The accreditation scheme has not been implemented, nor will be.

The national evaluation provides:
1. data on the volume of activity in the WW2H Scheme
2. data on participants' health and well-being
3. information about processes and management

In this report the results from the evaluation of the Local Project Coordinator and the Walk Leader training courses are presented. Evaluation occurred via a mail out survey, and the questionnaires asked participants about various aspects of the training they had received including the achievement of specific learning objectives, the facilities and resources provided in training, and the quality of the training. The questionnaires were developed by the national evaluation project team in consultation with CCW to ensure that they met the needs of CCW. Copies of the questionnaires are provided in section 5 of this report. These results contribute to the achievement of point three (above) by providing information about the processes and management of the WW2H exercise scheme.

CCW provided the national evaluation team with lists of individuals who had completed either the coordinator or walk leader training courses. The project coordinator training list consisted of the 42 individuals who had completed the training course (i.e., the entire population). The walk leader training list consisted of 79 individuals sampled from the 710 people who had completed the walk leader training. The sample was obtained by taking every 5th name from the training course registers. The individuals on these two lists were sent the appropriate questionnaires by mail and they were asked to return them to the national evaluation team in addressed return envelopes. Participants were provided with bilingual copies of all materials.

2.0 Findings from the Project Coordinator training questionnaire

2.1 Sample description

Forty two questionnaires were sent out to the individuals who had completed the coordinator training course, and a total of 20 questionnaires were returned, representing a response rate of 48%. A response rate of 48% is higher than typically achieved in mail-out surveys (which is often about 25%). Regarding gender, 85% were females and 15% were males. The average age of the coordinators was 46 years with a standard deviation of 16 years. As can be seen in
figure 1, however, the majority of individuals were either below 30 or above 50, indicating that the participants’ ages were not normally distributed. Ten percent of the sample spoke Welsh and 90% did not speak the language. Of participants, 70% completed the training as local project coordinators. Other reasons for completing the training included to (a) support a local project, but not as the coordinator, (b) learn more information for other jobs, and (c) as part of the process of becoming a coordinator. Forty percent believed that the training had been beneficial for reasons in addition to being a coordinator, and such reasons included receiving health information and learning generic project management skills.

**Figure 1: A histogram of coordinators’ ages in years.**

2.2 Coordinators’ responses to questions about the achievement of specific learning objectives

Table 1 and Figure 2 present the means for the questions focused on the achievement of specific learning objectives. Participants rated these items according to the following scale: not at all (1), some (2), adequate (3), a lot (4), a great deal (5), or can’t remember. Generally, participants believed that they had achieved the learning objectives, at least to an adequate level.
Table 1: Coordinators’ responses to questions regarding the achievement of specific learning outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>During your training course, to what extent do you feel you learned about…</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q10 The benefits of physical activity and walking for health</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q11 The national context for Walking the Way to Health Wales</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q12 Devising, planning, and researching independent walks</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q13 Promoting independent walks</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q14 Marketing</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q15 Managing a programme of led walks</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q16 Working with a local community</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q17 Project management and action planning</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2: Coordinators’ responses to questions regarding the achievement of specific learning outcomes.

2.3 Coordinators’ responses to questions about where the training took place and the resources available

Table 2 and Figure 3 present the means for the questions focused on where the training occurred and the resources provided. Participants rated these items on the following scale: not at all (1), some (2), adequate (3), a lot (4), a great deal (5), or can’t remember. As with the questions above, participants believed that the facilities and resources had been suitable.

Table 2: Coordinators’ responses to questions about where the training took place and the resources available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q18 How suitable was the training room?</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q19 To what extent were appropriate facilities available to help the training?</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q20 How suitable was the training manual?</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4 Coordinators’ responses about the quality of the training course.

Table 3 and Figure 4 presents the means for the questions focused on the quality of the training course. Participants responded on a 5-point likert scale that was anchored as illustrated in table 3. A score of 3 would indicate that the course was at least adequately stimulating, useful, etc. Results indicate that participants found the training stimulating, useful, relevant, well-taught, and moderately demanding.

Table 3: Coordinators’ responses regarding the quality of the training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Quality Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q21 Boring</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>Highly stimulating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q22 Useless</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Highly useful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q23 Irrelevant</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Highly relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24 Poorly taught</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Extremely well taught</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25 Undemanding</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Highly demanding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.5 Coordinators’ responses to the question about the training overall

The mean for the question: “overall, how would you rate the project coordinator training?” was 4.5. Participants rated this item on the following scale: no use (1), some use (2), adequate (3), good (4), very good (5), or can’t remember. Results indicate that overall coordinators believed the course was very good.

3.0 Findings from the walk leader training questionnaire

3.1 Sample description

Seventy nine questionnaires were sent out and a total of 31 were returned, representing a response rate of 39%, a rate that is higher than typical in mail-out surveys. Regarding gender, 68% were females and 32% were males. The average age of the participants was 57 years with a standard deviation of 16 years. As can be seen in figure 5, 19% of the participants were below the age of 50 and 81% were aged 50 years or above. Regarding language, 13% of the sample spoke Welsh and 87% did not speak the language. Of the sample, 30 individuals completed the training so they could become walk leaders. The one individual who had completed the training for another reason did so for self-development reasons. Every person in the sample had led walks since completing the training. There were 25 people (81%) who were still leading walks and 6 (19%) who were no longer leading walks. The reasons for no longer leading walks included lack of time, lack of need, becoming too old, other commitments, or not being asked. Just over half of the sample (58%) believed the training had been beneficial for reasons in addition to becoming walk leaders, and these reasons included gaining confidence,
meeting people, obtaining health related information, developing positive health related attitudes, helping others, and assisting with other jobs.

Figure 5: A histogram of walker leaders’ ages in years.

3.2 Walk leaders’ responses to questions about the achievement of specific learning objectives

Table 4 and Figure 6 present the means for the questions focused on the achievement of specific learning objectives. Participants rated these items on the following scale: not at all (1), some (2), adequate (3), a lot (4), a great deal (5), or can’t remember. Generally, participants believed that they had at least adequately achieved the learning objectives.

Table 4: Walk leaders’ responses to questions regarding the achievement of specific learning outcomes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>During your training course, to what extent do you feel you learned about…</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q9  The benefits of physical activity and walking for health</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q10 Identifying barriers to physical activity</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q11 Motivating people to walk</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q12 The components of a health walk</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q13 Safety</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q14 Special health issues</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q15 How to lead a walk</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q16 Essential paper work (e.g., health walk questionnaires and registers)</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Walk leaders’ responses to questions about where the training took place and the resources available

Table 5 and Figure 7 present the means for the questions focused on the achievement of specific learning objectives. Participants rated these items on the following scale: not at all (1), some (2), adequate (3), a lot (4), a great deal (5), or can’t remember. Generally, participants believed that the facilities and resources had been very suitable.

Table 5: Walk leaders’ responses to questions about where the training took place and the resources available

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q17 How suitable was the training room?</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q18 To what extent were appropriate facilities available to help the training?</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q19 How suitable was the training manual?</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4 Walk leaders’ responses about the quality of the training course.

Table 6 and figure 8 present the means for the questions focused on the quality of the training course. Participants responded on a 5-point likert scale that was anchored as illustrated in table 6. A score of 3 would indicate that the course was at least adequately stimulating, useful, etc. Responses indicate that participants found the training stimulating, useful, relevant, well-taught, and moderately demanding.

Table 6: Walk leaders’ responses regarding the quality of the training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Q20 Boring</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Highly stimulating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q21 Useless</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Highly useful</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q22 Irrelevant</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Highly relevant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q23 Poorly taught</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Extremely well taught</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q24 Undemanding</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Highly demanding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7: Participants’ responses to questions about where the training took place and the resources available
3.5 Walk leaders’ responses about the extent to which training helped them develop confidence to complete specific tasks

Table 7 and Figure 9 present the means for the questions focused on the development of participants’ confidence to complete specific tasks. Participants responded to the items via the following scale: not at all (1), to some extent (2), adequate (3), well (4), extremely well (5), or can’t remember. Overall, participants believed that the training had increased their confidence to complete a number of specific skills.

Table 7: Walk leaders’ responses about the extent to which training helped them develop confidence to complete specific tasks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>After training I felt able to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q25 Outline the major benefits to be gained by regular walking</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q26 Lead a walk</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q27 Outline the role of the leader at the start, during, and at the end of a health walk</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q28 Recognise potential safety hazards</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q29 Advise on practical issues such as intensity, posture, clothing, etc</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q30 Outline the essential qualities of a good walk leader</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.6 Walk leaders’ responses to questions about the training overall

The mean for the question: “overall, how would you rate volunteer walk leader training?” was 4.1. Participants rated this item on the following scale: no use (1), some use (2), adequate (3), good (4), very good (5), or can’t remember. Results indicate that overall walk leaders believed the course was very good.

4.0 Summary of results

Compared with the information on those participating in led WW2H walks already collected, the walker leaders appear similar to the participants in terms of age range (i.e., typically over 50) and sex (most are female). The coordinators seem to consist of younger females. Both coordinators and walk leaders believe that the training courses helped them achieve the specific learning outcomes and they were satisfied with the facilities and resources provided. The two samples also found the training stimulating, useful, relevant, well-taught, and moderately demanding. The walk leaders also believed the training had increased their competency in a number of specific skills.

The questionnaires also included open-ended questions that asked participants about the best and worst aspects of the courses, and the topics that should be added or dropped. The lack of consistent patterns from the open-ended questions suggests that there were no aspects that upset participants generally. Regarding the quantitative results, there were no meaningful differences when comparing the results for the quality of the courses with the achievement of specific learning objectives, or when comparing the walk leaders’ responses with those of the project coordinators. Any differences were small and overall, there were no items on which the courses were rated as less than adequate. Overall, both samples found the training to be very good. It is not possible to compare these results with those of other training evaluations because the questionnaire used with these individuals was designed to meet the needs of
CCW. Based on these results, however, it seems the course participants were satisfied with their experience.
5.0 Questionnaires

Walking the Way to Health Wales Evaluation
Project Co-ordinator Training

The following questions ask about how much your ability to lead a local project was improved by the Walking the Way to Health coordinator training course. For each question, tick a box which most closely represents how you feel about the training.

The following questions ask you to describe yourself. These will help us to describe our sample. The information will not be used to identify individuals. We will not pass your information onto any other person.

Please indicate in the box provided …

1) Age: 

2) Gender: 
   F □   M □

3) Are you a Welsh Speaker? 
   Y □   N □

4) Did you undertake the training to become a project coordinator? 
   Y □   N □

If No please indicate the reasons for undertaking the training


5) Have you been a project coordinator since completing the training? 
   Y □   N □
6) If No please indicate why you haven’t been a coordinator.

7) When did you complete your training? (Month/Year)

8) Has the training helped in other ways apart from the WW2H project?
   Y  N

9) If yes please tell us how you have used the training

The following questions ask about the content of the training course. The third box is labelled "adequate" and this means that the training provided was sufficient to allow you to fulfil the minimum role of a project coordinator. If you felt the training provided you with less, or more, than the minimum needed, then tick the appropriate box.

During your training course, to what extent do you feel you learned about:

10) The benefits of physical activity and walking for health?
   □ Not at all  □ Some  □ Adequate  □ A lot  □ A great deal  □ Can’t remember

11) The national context for Walking the Way to Health Wales?
    □ Not at all  □ Some  □ Adequate  □ A lot  □ A great deal  □ Can’t remember

12) Devising, planning, and researching independent walks?
    □ Not at all  □ Some  □ Adequate  □ A lot  □ A great deal  □ Can’t remember
13) Promoting independent walks?
   - Not at all
   - Some
   - Adequate
   - A lot
   - A great deal
   - Can’t remember

14) Marketing?
   - Not at all
   - Some
   - Adequate
   - A lot
   - A great deal
   - Can’t remember

15) Managing a programme of led walks?
   - Not at all
   - Some
   - Adequate
   - A lot
   - A great deal
   - Can’t remember

16) Working with the local community?
   - Not at all
   - Some
   - Adequate
   - A lot
   - A great deal
   - Can’t remember

17) Project management and action planning?
   - Not at all
   - Some
   - Adequate
   - A lot
   - A great deal
   - Can’t remember

The following questions ask you about where the training took place and the resources available. For each question, tick a box which most closely represents how you feel about the training.

18) How suitable was the training room?
   - Not at all
   - Some
   - Adequate
   - A lot
   - A great deal
   - Can’t remember

19) To what extent were appropriate facilities available to help the training (visual aids, projectors, flipcharts etc.)?
   - Not at all
   - Some
   - Adequate
   - A lot
   - A great deal
   - Can’t remember

20) How suitable was the training manual?
   - Not at all
   - Some
   - Adequate
   - A lot
   - A great deal
   - Can’t remember
The next questions ask you about the quality of the training course. For each question, tick a box which most closely represents how you feel about the training.

21) Boring
   □  □  □  □  □  Highly stimulating

22) Useless
   □  □  □  □  □  Highly useful

23) Irrelevant
   □  □  □  □  □  Highly relevant

24) Poorly taught
   □  □  □  □  □  Extremely well taught

25) Undemanding
   □  □  □  □  □  Highly demanding

This section asks you questions about the training overall, and whether anything was missed out.

26) Overall, how would you rate the project coordinator training?
   □ No use   □ Some use   □ Adequate   □ Good   □ Very good   □ Can’t Remember

27) What did you like best about the training course?

28) What did you like least about the training course?

29) Is there anything that should be dropped from the training course?

30) Is there anything that should be added to the training course?
31) To help you in your work as a coordinator, is there any further training you would like?

Thank you for completing this questionnaire. Should you have any questions about this questionnaire or any other aspects of the Walking The Way To Health Wales scheme, then please contact Dr David Tod (dvt@aber.ac.uk, 01970 628567)
Walking the Way to Health Wales Evaluation
Walk Leader Training

The following questions ask about how much your ability to lead a health walk was improved by the Walking the Way to Health walk leader training course. For each question, tick a box which most closely represents how you feel about the training.

The following questions ask you to describe yourself. These will help us to describe our sample. The information will not be used to identify individuals. We will not pass your information onto any other person.

Please indicate in the box provided …

1) Age: __________________________

2) Gender: F [ ] M [ ]

3) Are you a Welsh Speaker Y [ ] N [ ]

4) Did you undertake the training to become a walk leader? Y [ ] N [ ]
   If No please indicate the reasons for undertaking the training
   ______________________________________________________

5) Have you led walks since completing the training? Y [ ] N [ ]
If Yes please indicate the number and frequency of led walks


If No please indicate why you haven’t led walks since the training.


6) When did you complete your training?  
   (Month/Year)


7) Do you still lead walks?  
   Y  N

If No please indicate why you stopped


8) Has the training helped in other ways apart from the WW2H project?  
   Y  N

If yes please tell us how you have used the training
The following questions ask about the content of the training course. The third box is labelled "adequate" and this means that the training provided was sufficient to allow you to fulfil the minimum role of a walk leader. If you felt the training provided you with less, or more, than the minimum needed, then tick the appropriate box.

**During your training course, to what extent do you feel you learned about…..**

9) The benefits of physical activity and walking for health

   [ ] Not at all   [ ] Some   [ ] Adequate   [ ] A lot   [ ] A great deal   [ ] Can’t remember

10) Identifying barriers to physical activity

   [ ] Not at all   [ ] Some   [ ] Adequate   [ ] A lot   [ ] A great deal   [ ] Can’t remember

11) Motivating people to walk

   [ ] Not at all   [ ] Some   [ ] Adequate   [ ] A lot   [ ] A great deal   [ ] Can’t remember

12) The components of a health walk

   [ ] Not at all   [ ] Some   [ ] Adequate   [ ] A lot   [ ] A great deal   [ ] Can’t remember

13) Safety

   [ ] Not at all   [ ] Some   [ ] Adequate   [ ] A lot   [ ] A great deal   [ ] Can’t remember

14) Special health issues

   [ ] Not at all   [ ] Some   [ ] Adequate   [ ] A lot   [ ] A great deal   [ ] Can’t remember

15) How to lead a walk

   [ ] Not at all   [ ] Some   [ ] Adequate   [ ] A lot   [ ] A great deal   [ ] Can’t remember

16) Essential paper work, (e.g. health walk questionnaires and registers)

   [ ] Not at all   [ ] Some   [ ] Adequate   [ ] A lot   [ ] A great deal   [ ] Can’t remember
The following questions ask you about where the training took place and the resources available. For each question, tick a box which most closely represents how you feel about the training.

17) How suitable was the training room?

☐ Not at all  ☐ Some  ☐ Adequate  ☐ A lot  ☐ A great deal  ☐ Can’t remember

18) To what extent were appropriate facilities available to help the training (visual aids, projectors, flipcharts etc.)

☐ Not at all  ☐ Some  ☐ Adequate  ☐ A lot  ☐ A great deal  ☐ Can’t remember

19) How suitable was the training manual……

☐ Not at all  ☐ Some  ☐ Adequate  ☐ A lot  ☐ A great deal  ☐ Can’t remember

The next questions ask you about the quality of the training course. For each question, tick a box which most closely represents how you feel about the training.

20) Boring

☐ 1  ☐ 2  ☐ 3  ☐ 4  ☐ 5  ☐ Highly stimulating

21) Useless

☐ 1  ☐ 2  ☐ 3  ☐ 4  ☐ 5  ☐ Highly useful

22) Irrelevant

☐ 1  ☐ 2  ☐ 3  ☐ 4  ☐ 5  ☐ Highly relevant

23) Poorly taught

☐ 1  ☐ 2  ☐ 3  ☐ 4  ☐ 5  ☐ Extremely well taught

24) Undemanding

☐ 1  ☐ 2  ☐ 3  ☐ 4  ☐ 5  ☐ Highly demanding

The training was designed to help you feel confident as a walk leader. Please rate the extent to which the training allowed you to achieve the following skills.

After the training I felt able to

25) Outline the main benefits to be gained by regular walking

☐ Not at all  ☐ To some extent  ☐ Adequate  ☐ Well  ☐ Extremely well  ☐ Can’t Remember

26) Lead a walk
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Rating Options</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27) Outline the role of the leader at the start, during and at the end of a health walk</td>
<td>☐ Not at all ☐ To some extent ☐ Adequate ☐ Well ☐ Extremely well ☐ Can’t Remember</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28) Recognise potential safety hazards</td>
<td>☐ Not at all ☐ To some extent ☐ Adequate ☐ Well ☐ Extremely well ☐ Can’t Remember</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29) Advise on practical issues such as intensity, posture, clothing etc.</td>
<td>☐ Not at all ☐ To some extent ☐ Adequate ☐ Well ☐ Extremely well ☐ Can’t Remember</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30) Outline the essential qualities of a good walk leader</td>
<td>☐ Not at all ☐ To some extent ☐ Adequate ☐ Well ☐ Extremely well ☐ Can’t Remember</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This section asks you questions about the training overall, and whether anything was missed out.

31) Overall, how would you rate the volunteer walk leader training?
☐ No use ☐ Some use ☐ Adequate ☐ Good ☐ Very good ☐ Can’t Remember

32) What did you like best about the training course?

33) What did you like least about the training course?
34) Is there anything that should be dropped from the training course?


35) Is there anything that should be added to the training course?


36) To help you in your work as a walk leader, is there any further training you would like?


Thank you for completing this questionnaire. Should you have any questions about this questionnaire or any other aspects of the Walking The Way To Health Wales scheme, then please contact Dr David Tod (dvt@aber.ac.uk, 01970 628567)