

Non-existence of polar factorisations and polar inclusion of a vector-valued mapping

R.J. Douglas*

To appear in the *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, (IJPAM), **41**, no. 3, (2007)

Abstract

This paper proves some results concerning the polar factorisation of an integrable vector-valued function u into the composition $u = u^\# \circ s$, where $u^\# = \nabla\psi$ almost everywhere for some convex function ψ , and s is a measure-preserving mapping. Not every integrable function has a polar factorisation; we extend the class of counterexamples. We introduce a generalisation: u has a polar inclusion if $u(x) \in \partial\psi(y)$ for almost every pair (x, y) with respect to a measure-preserving plan. Given a regularity assumption, we show that such measure-preserving plans are exactly the minimisers of a Monge-Kantorovich optimisation problem.

Keywords: Polar factorisation, Monotone rearrangement, Measure-preserving mappings, Monge-Kantorovich problem.

AMS classification: 28A50, 28D05, 46E30

1 Introduction

A vector-valued function has a *polar factorisation* if it can be written as the composition of its *monotone rearrangement*, which is equal almost everywhere to the gradient of a convex function, with a measure-preserving mapping. This concept was introduced by Brenier [2, 4], who proved existence and uniqueness of the monotone rearrangement on sufficiently regular domains, and existence and uniqueness of the polar factorisation subject to a further “nondegeneracy” restriction on the function. Burton and Douglas [6, 7] investigated the consequences of relaxing his assumptions by studying polar factorisations on general sets of finite Lebesgue measure. While the monotone rearrangement continues to exist and be unique, as proved by McCann [11], they demonstrated that there is a class of functions which have no polar factorisation (that is there is no measure-preserving mapping satisfying the definition). In this paper we extend this class of functions. A natural question to ask is whether a weaker version of the concept holds for functions which do not have a polar factorisation. We introduce *polar inclusion* of an integrable function, where the gradient of the convex function is replaced by the subdifferential, and the inclusion is required to hold for almost every pair of points with respect to a product measure with prescribed marginals, that is a measure-preserving plan. It is easy to see that a polar factorisation induces a polar inclusion. We introduce a Monge-Kantorovich problem suitable for the study

*Institute of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, Aberystwyth University, Aberystwyth SY23 3BZ, U.K.
E-mail address `rsd@aber.ac.uk`

of polar inclusions. Given a regularity assumption on the cost function (which depends on the integrable function), minimising measure-preserving plans are exactly those that arise in polar inclusions (of the integrable function).

In this paper, given an integrable function $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, and a set $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of finite positive Lebesgue measure, we say that u has a *polar factorisation through Y* if $u = u^\# \circ s$, where $u^\# = \nabla\psi$ almost everywhere in Y for a convex function ψ , and $s : X \rightarrow Y$ is a measure-preserving mapping. Existence and uniqueness of a polar factorisation refers to existence and uniqueness of the measure-preserving mapping s . The restriction on X is not severe; we only require that (X, μ) is a complete measure space with the same measure-theoretic structure as an interval of length $\mu(X)$ equipped with Lebesgue measure. (We give precise definitions below.) We say that u has a *polar inclusion through Y* if $u(x) \in \partial\psi(y)$ for π almost every (x, y) , where $\partial\psi(y)$ denotes the subdifferential of ψ at y , and π is a measure on $X \times Y$ with prescribed marginals. If a polar factorisation exists for some measure-preserving mapping $s : X \rightarrow Y$, then the push-forward measure $(id \times s)_\# \mu$ is a measure-preserving plan for which u has a polar inclusion.

For a given integrable u , previous work [6, 7] has established that there is uniqueness exactly when $u^\#$ is almost injective (injective on a set of full measure) and general existence when $u^\#$ is almost injective on the complement of its level sets of positive size. Burton and Douglas [7, Theorem 2] established that if $u^\#$ does not satisfy the hypothesis of the general existence theorem, one can construct a rearrangement \hat{u} of $u^\#$ which is almost injective on the complement of its level sets of positive size, and \hat{u} does not have a polar factorisation. We extend this result in Theorems 1 and 2. The key intermediate result is that for any set of full measure, we can find a level set of $u^\#$, not of positive size, which has uncountable intersection with the set of full measure. For any rearrangement \tilde{u} of $u^\#$ satisfying the condition that all its level sets are countable except for the level sets of positive size, existence of a polar factorisation of \tilde{u} would yield a contradiction. One way to think about the existence/uniqueness question is to consider if a measure-preserving mapping can be constructed that maps level sets of u to corresponding level sets of $u^\#$. In the case of the uniqueness and general existence results, the non-empty level sets of $u^\#$ are singletons (ignoring level sets of positive size), therefore the mapping is fixed. In the nonexistence results we would be mapping a countable set onto an uncountable set, which is a contradiction.

Having extended the class of functions known to have no polar factorisation, we introduce a relaxed version of the concept, polar inclusion. In an analogous manner to the generalisation of a Monge mass transfer problem to a Monge-Kantorovich problem, we introduce measure-preserving plans, measures on $X \times Y$ with μ and n -dimensional Lebesgue measure λ_n as their marginals. We study a Monge-Kantorovich problem with cost function $c(x, y) = |u(x) - y|^2/2$ in Theorem 3, and show that any measure-preserving plan arising from a polar inclusion is a minimiser; conversely, if c is assumed to be lower semicontinuous, any minimiser yields a polar inclusion. This result can be viewed as a generalisation of [7, Corollary 1]. If a minimiser is not supported on the graph of a single mapping, this yields a polar inclusion without a corresponding polar factorisation. Gangbo and McCann [10] and Plakhov [12] have studied Monge-Kantorovich problems for specific costs where the minimisers are of the type described above. It is a plausible conjecture that polar inclusions exist for every integrable u . Such a result would fit well with the theory of geodesics in the space of measure-preserving mappings (see Brenier [3, 5], Ambrosio and Figalli [1]) where the problem cannot be solved in general within the set of measure-preserving mappings, it being necessary to consider the larger set of measure-preserving plans.

1.1 Definitions and notation

DEFINITION. Let (X, μ) and (Y, ν) be finite positive measure spaces with $\mu(X) = \nu(Y)$. Two vector-valued functions $f \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L^1(Y, \nu, \mathbb{R}^n)$ are *rearrangements* of each other (or *equimeasurable*) if

$$\mu(f^{-1}(B)) = \nu(g^{-1}(B)) \text{ for every } B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the Borel field of \mathbb{R}^n . Equivalent formulations can be found in Douglas [8].

DEFINITIONS. A *measure-preserving mapping* from a finite positive measure space (X, μ) to a positive measure space (Y, ν) with $\mu(X) = \nu(Y)$ is a mapping $s : X \rightarrow Y$ such that for each ν -measurable set $A \subset Y$, $\mu(s^{-1}(A)) = \nu(A)$.

We will be considering the special case of (X, μ) complete, $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and ν being n -dimensional Lebesgue measure. The ν -measurable sets will be the Borel-measurable sets; the same measure-preserving properties can then be deduced for the Lebesgue-measurable sets.

A finite complete measure space (X, μ) is a *measure-interval* if there exists a measure-preserving transformation from (X, μ) to $[0, \mu(X)]$ with Lebesgue measure (on the Lebesgue sets). (Measure-preserving transformations are defined in [7].) We recall that any Polish space, that is any complete separable metric space, equipped with a finite nonatomic Borel measure, is a measure interval.

Throughout this paper we will denote n -dimensional Lebesgue measure by λ_n , and the extended real numbers, that is the set $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty, \infty\}$, by $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

DEFINITION. Let $u \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{R}^n)$, where (X, μ) is a measure-interval. Let Lebesgue measurable $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that $\lambda_n(Y) = \mu(X)$. The *monotone rearrangement of u on Y* is the unique function $u^\# : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ that is a rearrangement of u , and satisfies $u^\# = \nabla\psi$ almost everywhere in Y for some proper lower semicontinuous convex function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. (A $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ -valued function is called *proper* if it is not identically ∞ , and nowhere takes the value $-\infty$.)

The existence and uniqueness of the monotone rearrangement follows from the main result of McCann [11]. It is unique in the sense that if $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is another convex function, and $\nabla\varphi$ (as a function defined on Y) is a rearrangement of u , then $\nabla\varphi(y) = \nabla\psi(y)$ for almost every $y \in Y$.

DEFINITION. Let $u \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{R}^n)$ where (X, μ) is a measure-interval. Let Lebesgue measurable $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that $\lambda_n(Y) = \mu(X)$, and let $u^\#$ denote the monotone rearrangement of u on Y . We say u has a *polar factorisation through Y* if there exists a measure-preserving mapping s from (X, μ) to (Y, λ_n) such that $u = u^\# \circ s$ almost everywhere.

DEFINITIONS. A mapping $f : U \rightarrow V$, where (U, μ) is a finite positive measure space, is *almost injective* if there exists a set $U_0 \subset U$ such that s restricted to U_0 is injective, and $\mu(U \setminus U_0) = 0$.

We say that f is *almost countable to one* if there exists a set U_0 of full measure such that the intersection of any level set of u with U_0 is countable.

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that f is *almost m to 1* if there exists a set U_0 of full measure such that the intersection of any level set of u with U_0 (whenever it is nonempty) has exactly m

elements. In this notation, almost injective functions are called almost one to one.

DEFINITION. Let (X, μ) be a measure interval, and let Lebesgue measurable $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy $\lambda_n(Y) = \mu(X)$. We say that a measure π on $X \times Y$ is a *measure-preserving plan* if $\mu(A) = \pi(A \times Y)$ and $\lambda_n(B) = \pi(X \times B)$ for all (respectively μ and λ_n) measurable subsets $A \subset X$, $B \subset Y$.

We denote the set of measure-preserving plans by $\Pi(\mu, \lambda_n)$.

DEFINITION. Let $u \in L^1(X, \mu, \mathbb{R}^n)$ where (X, μ) is a measure interval, and let Lebesgue measurable $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy $\lambda_n(Y) = \mu(X)$. We say that u has a *polar inclusion through Y* if there exists a (proper lower semicontinuous) convex function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and a measure-preserving plan $\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \lambda_n)$ such that $u(x) \in \partial\psi(y)$ for π a.e. (x, y) , where $\partial\psi(y)$ denotes the subdifferential of ψ at y .

1.2 Statements of results

Our main results are Theorems 1,2 and 3 below; the proofs of the first two are given in Section 2, the last in Section 3.

Theorem 1. *Let integrable $u^\# : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the restriction of the gradient of a proper lower semicontinuous convex function to a set $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of finite positive Lebesgue measure, and suppose that $u^\#$ restricted to the complement of its level sets of positive measure is not almost injective. Let (X, μ) be a measure-interval satisfying $\mu(X) = \lambda_n(Y)$. Suppose $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a rearrangement of $u^\#$ which is almost countable to 1 on the complement of its level sets of positive measure. Then u does not have a polar factorisation (through Y).*

The following extension of Theorem 1 yields further examples of integrable functions which do not have polar factorisations.

Theorem 2. *Let $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set of finite positive Lebesgue measure, and let (X, μ) be a measure-interval satisfying $\mu(X) = \lambda_n(Y)$. Let $u^\#$ be the monotone rearrangement through $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of an integrable function $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, and suppose there is a Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that*

- (i) $u^\#$ restricted to $(u^\#)^{-1}(B)$ is not almost injective on the complement of its level sets of positive measure;
- (ii) u restricted to $u^{-1}(B)$ is almost countable to 1 on the complement of its level sets of positive measure.

Then u does not have a polar factorisation (through Y).

Theorem 3. *Let X be a Polish space, let (X, μ) be a measure-interval, and let $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Lebesgue measurable set such that $\mu(X) = \lambda_n(Y)$ and $\int_Y |y|^2 d\lambda_n < \infty$. Let $u \in L^2(X, \mu, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Define $c : X \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty) \cup \{+\infty\}$ by $c(x, y) = |u(x) - y|^2/2$, and for $\gamma \in \Pi(\mu, \lambda_n)$ write*

$$I(\gamma) = \int_{X \times Y} c(x, y) d\gamma(x, y).$$

- (i) *Suppose that $u(x) \in \partial\psi(y)$ for π a.e. (x, y) , where $\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \lambda_n)$, and $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is a convex lower semicontinuous function. Then π attains $\inf\{I(\gamma) : \gamma \in \Pi(\mu, \lambda_n)\}$.*
- (ii) *Suppose that c is lower semicontinuous, and let $\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \lambda_n)$ be a minimiser of $I(\gamma)$ over $\gamma \in \Pi(\mu, \lambda_n)$. Then there exists a convex lower semicontinuous function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $u(x) \in \partial\psi(y)$ for π a.e. (x, y) .*

2 Classes of functions without polar factorisations

If a monotone rearrangement $u^\# = \nabla\psi$ (defined on $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$) is not almost injective on the complement of its level sets of positive measure, then it was proven in [7, Theorem 2] that it has a rearrangement u which is almost injective on the complement of its level sets of positive measure, and that u does not have a polar factorisation (through Y). We extend this result in Theorem 1 to a larger class of functions (which are rearrangements of $u^\#$), those that are almost countable to one on the complement of their level sets of positive measure. Moreover pairs $u^\#, u$ which satisfy these conditions (in a non-trivial way) for a restricted (Borel) set of values will also fail to have a polar factorisation, see Theorem 2. A key intermediate result, stated and proved in Lemma 1, is that a monotone rearrangement which is not almost injective on the complement of its level sets of positive size is not almost countable to one (on the complement of its level sets of positive size).

We begin by proving that every integrable $v : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ has a rearrangement u which is almost m to 1 on the complement of its level sets of positive measure. This demonstrates that Theorems 1 and 2 are a genuine extension of the results of [7]. Other classes of almost countable to one functions can be constructed by analogous arguments.

Proposition 1. *Let $v : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be integrable, where $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has finite Lebesgue measure. Let (X, μ) be a measure-interval with $\mu(X) = \lambda_n(Y)$, and let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then v has a rearrangement $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ which is almost m to 1 on the complement of its level sets of positive measure.*

Proof. We use the methods of [7, Lemma 3]. Initially we restrict attention to finding $\hat{u} : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, a rearrangement of v which is almost m to 1 on the complement of its level sets of positive measure. Let $Y_i = v^{-1}(\alpha_i)$ for $i \in I$ be the level sets of v that have positive measure, where I is a countable index set, and write $Y_0 = Y \setminus \cup_{i \in I} Y_i$. If Y_0 has zero measure, all rearrangements have the desired property. Otherwise, define a Borel measure ν on \mathbb{R}^n by $\nu(B) = \lambda_n(v^{-1}(B))$ for Borel sets $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Now $\{\alpha_i : i \in I\}$ is the set of atoms of ν . Let ν_0 be the nonatomic part of ν . Possibly adding or subtracting sets of zero size, we can partition Y_0 into m disjoint G_δ sets of equal λ_n -measure: we label these sets Y_0^j for $j = 1, \dots, m$. Now for $j = 1, \dots, m$, (Y_0^j, λ_n) and $(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\alpha_i : i \in I\}, \nu_0/m)$ are isomorphic measure spaces (in the sense of [6, Definition 2.2]). This follows from [14, p. 164, Proposition 33, and p. 409, Theorem 16] and [6, Lemma 2.3]. For each $j = 1, \dots, m$, choose a measure-preserving bijection $u_j : Y_0^j \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\alpha_i : i \in I\}$. Define $u_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\alpha_i : i \in I\}$ by $u_0 = u_j$ on Y_0^j for each $j = 1, \dots, m$. Now u_0 is (almost) m to 1 by construction. Writing v_0 for v restricted to Y_0 , we have that for Borel $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\lambda_n(u_0^{-1}(B)) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_n(u_j^{-1}(B)) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^m \nu_0(B) = \nu_0(B) = \lambda_n(v_0^{-1}(B)).$$

It follows that u_0 and v_0 are rearrangements. Define $\hat{u} = u_0$ on Y_0 , and $\hat{u} = \alpha_i$ on Y_i for $i \in I$. Then $\hat{u} : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is a rearrangement of v having the desired properties.

Finally we note that (X, μ) and (Y, λ_n) are isomorphic, so we can choose a measure-preserving transformation $\tau : X \rightarrow Y$. We can choose sets $\tilde{X} \subset X$, $\tilde{Y} \subset Y$ of full measure such that $\tau : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ is a bijection. The above construction yields $\hat{u} : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ which is almost m to 1 on the complement of its level sets of positive measure. Now $u : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $u = \hat{u} \circ \tau$ satisfies the required conditions. \square

Notation. We say that a measure μ is absolutely continuous with respect to a measure ν , and write $\mu \ll \nu$, if $\mu(E) = 0$ for every ν -measurable set E for which $\nu(E) = 0$.

Lemma 1. *Let integrable $u^\# : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the restriction of the gradient of a proper lower semicontinuous convex function to a set $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of finite positive Lebesgue measure, and suppose that $u^\#$ restricted to the complement of its level sets of positive measure is not almost injective. Let Y_0 be the complement of the level sets of $u^\#$ of positive measure. Then given a set $\tilde{Y} \subset Y_0$ of full measure, we can find a level set of $u^\#$ of zero measure which has uncountable intersection with \tilde{Y} .*

Proof. We can choose a set $Y_1 \subset \tilde{Y}$ of positive measure such that for some integer $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$, every point of Y_1 lies in a k -dimensional convex set that is a level set of $u^\#$. Then, as in the proof of Burton and Douglas [7, Theorem 4], there is a homeomorphism f from a compact set $Y_2 \subset Y_1$ with $\lambda_n(Y_2) > 0$, to a subset $f(Y_2)$ of $X \times \mathbb{R}^k$, where X is a Borel subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} ; moreover the push-forward ν of λ_n through f satisfies $\nu \ll \Gamma \times \lambda_k$, where Γ is the finite Borel measure on X described in Burton and Douglas [7, Theorem 4].

Now $\Gamma \times \lambda_k(f(Y_2)) > 0$ by absolute continuity since $\nu(f(Y_2)) = \lambda_n(Y_2) > 0$, and

$$\Gamma \times \lambda_k(f(Y_2)) = \int_X \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} 1_{f(Y_2)}(g, l) d\lambda_k(l) d\Gamma(g),$$

so $\lambda_k(f(Y_2) \cap (\{g\} \times \mathbb{R}^k)) > 0$ for some $g \in X$, thus $f(Y_2) \cap (\{g\} \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ is uncountable. It follows that $Y_2 \cap f^{-1}(\{g\} \times \mathbb{R}^k)$ is an uncountable subset of a level set of $u^\#$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.

Suppose (for a contradiction) that u has a polar factorisation $u = u^\# \circ s$. Write Y_0 and X_0 for the complement of the level sets of positive measure of $u^\#$ and u respectively. Modifying s on a set of measure zero if necessary, we have that $u = u^\# \circ s$ where $s : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ is measure-preserving. Choose a set $\tilde{X} \subset X_0$ of full measure such that

- (i) $u(x) = u^\# \circ s(x)$ for every $x \in \tilde{X}$, and
- (ii) the intersection of every level set of u with \tilde{X} is countable.

Now $s(\tilde{X}) \subset Y_0$ is a set of full measure; Lemma 1 yields the existence of a level set of $u^\#$ which has uncountable intersection with $s(\tilde{X})$. Write this intersection $\{y_i\}_{i \in I}$ for some uncountable index set I , where y_i are distinct. For each i we can choose $\beta_i \in \tilde{X}$ such that $s(\beta_i) = y_i$, where β_i are distinct (because the y_i are distinct). Now for $i, j \in I$,

$$u(\beta_i) = u^\#(s(\beta_i)) = u^\#(y_i) = u^\#(y_j) = u^\#(s(\beta_j)) = u(\beta_j),$$

from which we deduce the existence of a level set of u with uncountable intersection with \tilde{X} . This contradicts the definition of \tilde{X} , and completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 2

Suppose, for a contradiction, that u does not have a polar factorisation through Y , that is there exists a measure-preserving mapping $s : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $u = u^\# \circ s$. Write $X_0 = u^{-1}(B)$, $Y_0 = (u^\#)^{-1}(B)$, and denote the restriction of $u, u^\#$ to X_0, Y_0 respectively by $u_0, u_0^\#$. Modifying s on a set of measure zero if necessary, we have $u_0 = u_0^\# \circ s$ where $s : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ is a measure-preserving mapping; moreover $u_0^\#$ is the monotone rearrangement (through Y_0) of u_0 , so $u_0 = u_0^\# \circ s$ is a polar factorisation. Now Theorem 1 yields that such a polar factorisation cannot exist. This completes the proof. \square

3 Existence of polar inclusions

Theorem 3 will be proved in this section. We begin by noting that the concept of polar inclusion is a natural extension of polar factorisation. If $u = u^\# \circ s$ for some measure-preserving mapping $s : X \rightarrow Y$, we can introduce a push-forward measure π by defining

$$\pi(B) = (id \times s)_\# \mu(B) \equiv \mu\{x \in X : (x, s(x)) \in B\} \quad (1)$$

for measurable $B \subset X \times Y$. It is easily seen that $\pi \in \Pi(\mu, \lambda_n)$, and given $u^\# = \nabla\psi$ for some convex ψ , we have that $u(x) = \partial\psi(s(x))$ for μ a.e. $x \in X$, from which it follows that $u(x) \in \partial\psi(y)$ for π a.e. (x, y) . Moreover the Monge-Kantorovich problem of minimising $I(\gamma)$ over $\gamma \in \Pi(\mu, \lambda_n)$ can be seen as an extension of [7, Corollary 1]: if we restrict to π of the form (1), the minimisation problem is exactly that of finding closest measure-preserving mappings (in L^2) to a square integrable function u , which corresponds to finding measure-preserving mappings (if any) which arise as polar factors.

The proof of Theorem 3 makes use of some standard ideas from the theory of optimal mass transfer problems. We introduce notation for concepts from convex analysis and its generalisation to c -concave analysis.

Notation. If $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, then $\psi^* : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the (Legendre-Fenchel) *conjugate convex function* of ψ , defined by

$$\psi^*(x) = \sup\{x \cdot y - \psi(y) : y \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

Let X and Y be Polish spaces, and suppose that $c : X \times Y \rightarrow [0, \infty) \cup \{+\infty\}$ is lower semicontinuous. For $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$, define its c -transform φ^c and second c -transform φ^{cc} by

$$\varphi^c(x) = \inf\{c(x, y) - \varphi(y) : y \in Y\}, \quad \varphi^{cc}(y) = \inf\{c(x, y) - \varphi^c(x) : x \in X\}.$$

We say that φ is c -concave if there exists some function θ such that $\varphi = \theta^c$. For c -concave φ it is easily seen that $\varphi^{cc} = \varphi$, and (φ^c, φ) is called a conjugate c -concave function pair. (Further details may be found in Villani [15], for example.)

Proof of Theorem 3

(i) Standard convex analysis (see for example Rockafellar [13, Theorem 23.5]) yields that $u(x) \in \partial\psi(y)$ for π a.e. (x, y) if and only if

$$\psi^*(u(x)) + \psi(y) - u(x) \cdot y = 0 \quad \pi \text{ a.e. } (x, y). \quad (2)$$

Now (2) holds if and only if

$$\int_{X \times Y} \psi^*(u(x)) + \psi(y) - u(x) \cdot y d\pi(x, y) = 0,$$

noting that the integrand is non-negative.

Let $\gamma \in \Pi(\mu, \lambda_n)$. Now

$$\psi^*(u(x)) + \psi(y) - u(x) \cdot y \geq 0 \quad \gamma \text{ a.e. } (x, y).$$

It follows that

$$J(\gamma) \equiv \int_{X \times Y} \psi^*(u(x)) + \psi(y) - u(x) \cdot y d\gamma(x, y) \geq 0 = J(\pi).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} J(\gamma) &= I(\gamma) + \int_{X \times Y} \psi^*(u(x)) + \psi(y) - \frac{|u(x)|^2}{2} - \frac{|y|^2}{2} d\gamma(x, y) \\ &= I(\gamma) + \int_X \psi^*(u(x)) - \frac{|u(x)|^2}{2} d\mu(x) + \int_Y \psi(y) - \frac{|y|^2}{2} d\lambda_n(y), \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows by noting that $\gamma \in \Pi(\mu, \lambda_n)$. It follows that any minimiser of I is a minimiser of J (and vice versa); we deduce that π minimises $I(\gamma)$ over $\gamma \in \Pi(\mu, \lambda_n)$.

(ii) Let π be a minimiser of $I(\gamma)$ over $\Pi(\mu, \lambda_n)$. (At least one minimiser exists by Villani [15, Theorem 1.3].) Now Kantorovich duality (see [15, Theorem 1.3, Remark 2.40]) yields that

$$\int_{X \times Y} \frac{|u(x) - y|^2}{2} d\pi(x, y) = \int_X \varphi^c(x) d\mu(x) + \int_Y \varphi(y) d\lambda_n(y) \quad (3)$$

for a c -concave pair (φ^c, φ) . Now (3) implies that

$$\int_{X \times Y} \frac{|y|^2}{2} - \varphi(y) + \frac{|u(x)|^2}{2} - \varphi^c(x) - u(x) \cdot y d\pi(x, y) = 0. \quad (4)$$

Define $\psi(y) = |y|^2/2 - \varphi(y)$. Then it may be shown that $\psi^*(u(x)) = |u(x)|^2/2 - \varphi^c(x)$, and that ψ is the supremum of a family of continuous affine functions, whence convex and lower semicontinuous (by [9, Proposition 3.1, p. 14] for example). Moreover the left hand side of (4) is $J(\pi)$. Now the proof of (i) yields that $u(x) \in \partial\psi(y)$ for π a.e. (x, y) . \square

Acknowledgements

The author would like to acknowledge stimulating discussions with G.R. Burton.

References

- [1] L. AMBROSIO and A. FIGALLI, Geodesics in the space of measure-preserving maps and plans. *Preprint*.
- [2] Y. BRENIER, Décomposition polaire et réarrangement monotone des champs de vecteurs. *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **305** série I (1987), 805-808.
- [3] Y. BRENIER, The least action principle and related concept of generalized flows for incompressible perfect fluids. *J. Amer. Mat. Soc.* **2** (1989), 225-255.
- [4] Y. BRENIER, Polar factorization and monotone rearrangement of vector-valued functions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **44** (1991), 375-417.
- [5] Y. BRENIER, Minimal geodesics on groups of volume-preserving maps and generalized solutions of the Euler equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **52** (1999), 411-452.
- [6] G.R. BURTON and R.J. DOUGLAS, Rearrangements and polar factorisation of countably degenerate functions. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edin.* **128A** (1998), 671-681.
- [7] G.R. BURTON and R.J. DOUGLAS, Uniqueness of the polar factorisation and projection of a vector-valued mapping. *Ann. I. H. Poincaré - A. N.* **20** (2003), 405-418.

- [8] R.J. DOUGLAS, Rearrangements of vector valued functions, with application to atmospheric and oceanic flows. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **29** (1998), 891-902.
- [9] I. EKELAND and R. TEMAM, *Convex Analysis and Variational Problems*. (Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1976.)
- [10] W. GANGBO and R.J. MCCANN, Shape recognition via Wasserstein distance. *Quart. Appl. Math.* **58** (2000), 705-737.
- [11] R.J. MCCANN, Existence and uniqueness of monotone measure-preserving maps. *Duke Math. J.* **80** (1995), 309-23.
- [12] A. PLAKHOV, Precise solutions of the one-dimensional Monge-Kantorovich problem. *Sbornik: Math.* **195** (2004), 1291-1307.
- [13] R.T. ROCKAFELLAR, *Convex Analysis*. (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1970.)
- [14] H.L. ROYDEN, *Real Analysis*, 3rd edn. (New York: Macmillan, 1988.)
- [15] C. VILLANI, *Topics in Optimal Transportation*. (Rhode Island: American Mathematical Society, 2003.)