The configuration, sensitivity and rapid retreat of the Late Weichselian Icelandic ice sheet.
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ABSTRACT
The fragmentary glacial-geological record across the Icelandic continental shelf has hampered reconstruction of the volume, extent and chronology of the Late Weichselian ice sheet particularly in key offshore zones. Marine geophysical data collected over the last two decades reveal that the ice sheet likely attained a continental shelf-break position in all sectors during the Last Glacial Maximum, though its precise timing and configuration remains largely unknown. Within this context, we review the available empirical evidence and use a well-constrained three-dimensional thermomechanical model to investigate the drivers of an extensive Late Weichselian Icelandic ice sheet, its sensitivity to environmental forcing, and phases of deglaciation. Our reconstruction attains the continental shelf break across all sectors with a total ice volume of 5.96 x 10^5 km^3 with high precipitation rates being critical to forcing extensive ice sheet flow offshore. Due to its location astride an active mantle plume, a relatively fast and dynamic ice sheet with a low aspect ratio is maintained. Our results reveal that once initial ice-sheet retreat was triggered through climate warming at 21.8 ka BP, marine deglaciation was rapid and accomplished in all sectors within c. 5 ka at a mean rate of 71 Gt of mass loss per year. This rate of ice wastage is comparable to contemporary rates observed for the West Antarctic ice sheet. The ice sheet subsequently stabilised on shallow pinning points across the near shelf for two millennia, but abrupt atmospheric warming during the Bølling Interstadial forced a second, dramatic collapse of the ice sheet onshore with a net wastage of 221 Gt a⁻¹ over 750 years, analogous to contemporary Greenland rates of mass loss. Geothermal conditions impart a significant control on the ice sheet’s transient response, particularly during phases of rapid retreat. Insights from this study suggests that large sectors of contemporary ice sheets overlying geothermally active regions, such as Siple Coast, Antarctica, and NE Greenland, have the potential to experience rapid phases of mass loss and deglaciation once initial retreat is initiated.
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1. Introduction
Reconstruction of the Late Weichselian extent and deglaciation history of the Icelandic Ice Sheet (IIS) has largely depended upon a relatively limited and sparsely distributed empirical record, especially in the marine sector (e.g., Norðdahl, 1991; Andrews et al., 2000; Norðdahl et al., 2008; Ingólfsson et al., 2010). Recent geomorphological mapping from shelf-wide acoustic bathymetric surveys has revealed an unprecedented view of the former glacial footprint in all sectors of the Icelandic continental shelf (Spagnolo and Clark, 2009), supporting previous insights from the landform and sediment record for the possibility of an extensive marine-terminating ice sheet at the Last Glacial
Maximum (LGM) (Figure 1A) (Ólafsdóttir, 1975; Egloff and Johnson, 1979; Boulton et al., 1988; Syvitski et al., 1999). Previous ice-sheet modelling by Hubbard et al. (2006) revealed that substantial parts of the IIS were potentially marine-based during the LGM. However, equivocal and piecemeal chronological control across the continental shelf has not allowed a full understanding of the form and extent of the LGM ice sheet prior to its retreat into near-shore areas around 16 ka BP (e.g., Andrews et al., 2000; Jennings et al., 2000; Geirsdóttir et al., 2002; Norðdahl and Pétursson, 2005). From c. 16 ka onwards, radiocarbon dated raised beaches and marine sediments around the present Icelandic coastline, as well as an exceptionally high marine limit of c. 150 m a.s.l. at Stóri-Sandhóll in West Iceland (Ingólfssson and Norðdahl, 2001), indicate that deglaciation onto land occurred extremely rapidly, coinciding with a period of rapid eustatic sea-level rise during the meltwater pulse 1A event c. 15.0 ka BP (Ingólfssson and Norðdahl, 2001; Norðdahl and Ingólfssson, 2015).

As well as being a largely marine-based ice sheet, the Icelandic domain is of particular interest because of its unique position straddling the tectonically active Mid-Atlantic lithosphere plate boundary (Figure 1B). This ~8000 km long spreading centre not only delivers large fluxes of geothermal heat, but is also prone to frequent, large-scale volcanic eruptions (cf. Thordarson and Larsen, 2007). Geothermal heat supply to the subglacial environment can have a primary influence on ice sheet thermodynamics, through temperature-dependent softening of ice-fabric yielding enhanced basal strain-rates, and/or through elevated levels of melting leading to widespread basal lubrication and motion. During episodes of vigorous subglacial volcanic activity, for example the regular eruptions at Grímsvötn, Bárðarbunga or Kverkfjöll (Óladóttir et al., 2011), the introduction of enhanced basal temperatures exerts a first-order control on ice sheet form, dynamics and stability. During such volcanic episodes, localised rates of subglacial melting exceed mass-balance terms by an order of magnitude or more, triggering large-scale jökulhlaups (Gudmundsson et al., 1997; Geirsdóttir et al., 1999) promoting fast flow (e.g., Blankenship et al., 1993; Vogel and Tulaczyk, 2006).

The distribution of geothermal heat flux across Iceland peaks at over 300 mW m⁻² within the neovolcanic zone, straddling the central rift from SW to NE Iceland (Figure 1B). This zone has experienced large variations in magma eruption rates during the last glacial cycle (Jakobsson et al., 1978; Vilmundardóttir and Larsen, 1986; Sigvaldason et al., 1992; Slater et al., 1998), with extrusive episodes 50 times more frequent during deglaciation compared to recent times (Jull and McKenzie, 1996; Maclennan et al., 2002). Previous modelling experiments and geophysical data from Iceland indicate that dramatic increases in eruption rates were associated with the onset of deglaciation and glacio-isostatic unloading (Jull and McKenzie, 1996; Slater et al., 1998; Maclennan et al., 2002; Geyer and Bindeman, 2011). However, discriminating the impact of spatial variations in the geothermal flux on the evolution and dynamics of the Icelandic ice-sheet are yet to be fully investigated from a numerical modelling perspective (Bourgeois et al., 2000). This research focus has wide significance given the large sectors of today’s Polar ice sheets and smaller ice masses that are located over volcanically active zones characterised by high geothermal fluxes, for example, the Northeast Greenland ice stream (Fahnestock et al., 2001; Rogozhina et al., 2016), the East-West Antarctica boundary (Maule et al., 2005) and the Siple Coast ice streams (Fisher et al., 2015).

Here we present and examine a new model for the last glaciation of the Icelandic continental shelf within the context of recent chronological and geological insights – particularly in the light of improved shelf-wide geomorphological mapping of the offshore landform record – whilst also reconciling much of the previously published evidence. First, we review the existing empirical evidence that reliably constrains the advance and demise of the last ice sheet, including during the Younger Dryas stadial – a brief cold reversal that interrupted climate amelioration during deglaciation. We then present model output from an optimal experiment, that extends the coupled climate/ice-sheet-flow modelling of Hubbard et al. (2006), putting forward a robust and glaciologically plausible reconstruction of an extensive, marine-based Late Weichselian IIS that...
reached the continental shelf-edge in all sectors. From this reconstruction we highlight a number of key findings relating to the IIS, including: zonal flow configurations; potential drivers of collapse; as well as its sensitivity and response to a range of internal and external drivers, including the effects of spatially variable geothermal heat flow.

2. The empirical record of glaciation

In this section we review the key morphological and chronological evidence for ice-sheet glaciation across the Icelandic continental shelf during the LGM, providing a context in which to place our new modelling results. We assume the LGM of Iceland to have been coeval with the global ice-sheet maximum, which occurred between 26.5-19 ka BP (Peltier and Fairbanks, 2006; Clark et al., 2009).

This period is widely referred to as the Late Weichselian glaciation (Europe) (Ingólfsson, 1991; Norðdahl, 1991), equivalent to the Late Wisconsinan of North America, or Late Devensian of the United Kingdom. Offshore, marine geologists commonly use Marine Isotope Stages (MIS) to refer to alternating warm and cold periods – in this case the main Late Weichselian Stadial (and LGM) is associated with MIS2 (29-14 ka BP), proceeding the previous interstadial in MIS3 (60-29 ka BP).

Reported 14C ages are recalibrated in this paper to calendar years before present (cal. ka BP) using the program Calib 7.1 (Stuiver and Reimer, 1993) and the IntCal13/MARINE13 calibration curves (Reimer et al., 2013). The marine calibration incorporates a time-dependent global ocean reservoir correction of about 400 years, with a ΔR value of 24±23 used to accommodate local effects (Håkansson, 1983).

2.1. Onset of shelf glaciation (MIS 3/2 transition)

Few data constrain the timing or extent of glaciation prior to the LGM, though it is generally assumed the IIS was not on the shelf during the latter part of MIS 3 (Norðdahl and Pétursson, 2005; Andrews, 2008). A till of Mid Weichselian age has been reported from Suðurnes on the Reykjanes peninsula based on two samples dated to c. 31.6 cal. ka BP found within overlying marine sediments (Eiríksson et al., 1997). Based on these dates and sediments, it can be inferred that the southwest coastline was probably ice free during the late Mid Weichselian, tentatively correlated with similar restricted ice-cover in western Norway during the Ålesund interstadial (Figure 1) (Mangerud et al., 1981, 2010). West of Keflavík, till containing fossiliferous sediment clasts overlying striated (215°) bedrock has yielded an age of c. 28.1 cal. ka BP, providing a maximum age for the advance of Late Weichselian ice in this region. However, a weighted mean age from six marine shell samples within stratified sands resting on glacially striated (009°) bedrock north of Rauðamelur suggests that shallow marine conditions may have persisted here until c. 25.8 cal. ka BP (cf. Norðdahl and Pétursson, 2005).

2.2. Last Glacial Maximum (28.1-22.8 cal. ka BP)

2.2.1. The timing of maximum extension

For a significant time, constraining the maximum extent of former ice beyond the present-day coastline was limited to observations from islands or low-resolution snapshots of offshore seismic data. Early reports of till, drumlins, roches moutonnées, striae and meltwater channels on the island of Grímsey 40 km off northern Iceland, confirm that ice overrode, and was thick enough to cover the highest parts of the island (> 100 m), at least once (Keith and Jones, 1935; Hoppe, 1968). Although not constrained by any numerical ages, the well preserved meltwater channels and apparent absence of either solifluction cover or of older soils over the thin till deposits, together, were used to suggest a LGM age (Hoppe, 1968).

The first description of a submarine glacial landform on the Icelandic continental shelf was reported by Ólafsdóttir (1975), with the discovery of a prominent moraine-like ridge at the western continental shelf break. This feature extends for more than 100 km, lies at water depths of between
200-350 m, and is typically 20 m in relief although in places can reach more than 100 m high (Syvitski et al., 1999) (Figure 1A). A core collected seaward of this Látra Bank end-moraine has provided a maximum age of this deposit of c. 40.2 ka BP, although dating material was possibly collected from a pre-LGM erosion surface here (Syvitski et al., 1999). Basal dates from further cores on the adjacent upper shelf have provided ages of c. 21.4 and 21.6 cal. ka BP, and although do not confirm or refute the presence of LGM ice at the moraine, imply only modest sediment accumulation rates were achieved in this sector (10.2 cm kyr⁻¹) (Andrews et al., 2000). Compared with an upper shelf slope core taken from the opposite side of the Denmark Strait, below the mouth of Kangerlussuaq Trough, the accumulation rates in the Icelandic sector are three times lower (30.6 cm kyr⁻¹) (Andrews et al., 1998). Andrews et al. (2000) therefore suggested that the western Icelandic ice sheet margin was either not a major contributor of sediment to the upper slope, or that the area was by-passed by various downslope transport processes during the LGM (e.g., Syvitski et al., 1999).

Further geophysical surveying offshore has revealed major till deposits up to 100 m thick at the continental shelf edge in the south-eastern sector, as well as an associated retreat moraine complex – the Tvísker moraine – 20 km from the present coastline (Boulton et al., 1988). Off southwestern Iceland, Egloff and Johnson (1979) also found an acoustically transparent, 100-350 m thick upper layer present adjacent to the shelf edge. With no chronological control, this sediment package, resting on a probable erosional surface, was interpreted to represent morainic deposits of Late Pleistocene age.

More recent, systematic coring efforts north and northwest of Iceland have attempted to pinpoint the LGM ice sheet extent on the continental shelf, though results proved largely equivocal. Three cores recovered from within Reykjafjarðará, a large trough extending from north Iceland towards the shelf break, revealed a broad cover of matrix-supported diamicton overlain by fine-grained postglacial muds (Andrews and Helgadóttir, 2003). All foraminifera samples within the lower diamicton provided consistently old dates (27-44 cal. ka BP), while radiocarbon dates immediately above the diamicton yielded ages of c. 13 cal. ka BP. The preferred interpretation by these authors was that the stratigraphy and chronology could be best explained by Late Weichselian ice overrunning the sites, reworking glacio-marine sediments deposited >25 cal. ka BP, and persisting in the trough until c. 13 cal. ka BP (Andrews and Helgadóttir, 2003; Principato et al., 2005). Based on this conclusion, the core locations thus represent a minimum spatial constraint of the maximum Late Weichselian ice-sheet margin.

An alternative interpretation for the “old” (> 25 cal. ka BP) lower diamicton is that it represents in situ glacio-marine sediments that did not directly interact with an ice sheet, thus delimiting the maximum spatial extent of the LGM ice sheet. Despite an absence of geomorphological evidence, such as end-moraines or grounding-zone features within Reykjafjarðará to support a “restricted” LGM ice sheet in this sector (Andrews and Helgadóttir, 2003; Spagnolo and Clark, 2009), this view has generally persisted within the literature and has formed maximal constraints for a number of conceptual and numerical reconstructions (Andrews et al., 2000; Norðdahl and Pétursson, 2005; Hubbard et al., 2006; Norðdahl and Ingólfsson, 2015).

Djúpáll, a narrow cross-shelf extending northwest from Vestfirðir is traversed by a number of prominent moraines, mapped from seismic profiles (Andrews et al., 2002); and seen on singlebeam (Olex) bathymetric data (Spagnolo and Clark, 2009). Two anomalously “old” (> 35 cal. ka BP) dates from foraminifera found within a massive diamicl underlying laminated marine deposits have been similarly used to infer a restricted LGM ice sheet on the NW shelf (Andrews et al., 2002b; Geirsdóttir et al., 2002). However, more recent data has cast doubt on this interpretation, based on the probability that the dated foraminifera from the underlying diamicl here are also reworked (Quillmann et al., 2009). Taking this into account, one corrected radiocarbon date of 22.8 cal. ka BP from massive to faintly laminated glaciomarine sediments (in core B997-338PC) provides a useful
minimum constraint for the retreat of the LGM ice sheet in this sector (Andrews et al., 2002b; Geirsdóttir et al., 2002). Further basal core dates in the same part of the trough imply an average sediment accumulation rate of around 30 cm kyr$^{-1}$ during deglaciation (Andrews et al., 2000).

From an overview of the existing radiocarbon chronology offshore, it is clear that dating control on the maximum extent of the LGM ice sheet is loose and spatially incoherent (Figure 1). Despite a number of ages being affected by glacial reworking of the sediments, two dated cores from the western sector of the ice sheet constrain a maximum and minimum age for the timing of ice advance and retreat, indicating that Late Weichselian peak ice extent was probably attained sometime between 25.8 and 22.8 cal. ka BP (Andrews et al., 2002b; Geirsdóttir et al., 2002).

2.2.2. Shelf-edge glaciation?
A significant advance in our understanding of the offshore glacial footprint came with the release of a bathymetric database compiled, processed and managed by Olex AS. The Olex bathymetric database and resulting imagery is based upon single-beam echo-sounding, mostly derived from fishing vessels, with a vertical resolution of ±1 m in water depths > 100 m, and 0.1 m at depths < 100 m. The horizontal positional error is limited by the precision of non-corrected, on-board Global Positioning Systems, but is generally ~10 m and is further reduced by the cross-correlation of multiple, coincident soundings. Mapping from this dataset allowed an unprecedented synoptic view of glacial landforms on c. 80% of the continental shelf around Iceland. From this dataset, Spagnolo & Clark (2009) mapped several hundred newly identified landforms, including submarine end moraines and streamlined bedforms, which comprise the broad-scale glacial footprint of IIS extent and subsequent retreat.

The recent release of the EMODnet Digital Terrain Model – a composite bathymetric dataset, with data gaps filled using the GEBCO 2014 30” dataset to a horizontal resolution of 7.5 arc seconds (http://www.emodnet-hydrography.eu/) – has since provided complete bathymetric coverage of the Icelandic continental shelf at a resolution suitable for mapping large glacial landforms. Here, we consolidate and extend previous mapping offshore (Ólafsdóttir, 1975; Boulton et al., 1988; Spagnolo and Clark, 2009) with prominent features observed from Landsat imagery onshore as well as new landforms observed from previous data gaps offshore (Figure 2). The geomorphological interpretations made here are guided by the context of previous work described above.

The largest and most prominent geomorphological features on the continental shelf are a radial system of overdeepened cross-shelf troughs that extend from major onshore valley systems and widen towards the continental shelf edge. Compared to other cross-shelf troughs in high-latitude settings, such as around Greenland, Norway and northeast Canada, they are relatively shallow, and are draped with a thin layer of deglacial sediments, indicative of moderate rates of glacial erosion (Syvitski et al., 1987; Andrews et al., 2000). Most Icelandic cross-shelf troughs terminate with a reverse slope in long-profile and a bulging, arcuate terminus in planform (Figure 2C). Their association with distinct sets of large submarine streamlined ridges, running parallel to trough axes, as well as similar streamlined mega-lineations and striae onshore (Norðdahl, 1991; Bourgeois et al., 2000; Principato et al., 2016), strongly suggest that these areas were regions of streaming ice flow, indicative of widespread temperate subglacial conditions. Often associated with these offshore mega-lineations, are parallel channels up to 75 m in depth, 3 km wide and 20 km long, found incising to depths of 360 m below present-day sea level. Based on their morphological affinity with glacial channels elsewhere, and their location within zones of former temperate-based ice, these features are likely to have formed by meltwater erosion during repeated episodes of grounded ice-sheet advance (e.g. Ó Cofaigh, 1996).

Broad and well-defined features interpreted as moraines are located near the Icelandic shelf edge in most sectors (Figure 2), of which two features have been previously identified though not
radiocarbon dated directly (Ölafsdóttir, 1975; Boulton et al., 1988; Syvitski et al., 1999) (Figure 1).

Moraine ridge lengths range from 6 to ~80 km, and their arcuate form is typical of end moraines formed at grounded terrestrial ice-sheet margins. However, it cannot be completely discounted that some of the ridges could represent subaqueous morainal banks – the product of grounded, quasi-stable, water-terminating glaciers. A large ridge running parallel to the trough axis north of Tröllaskagi is interpreted to be a medial-type moraine, formed at the junction of converging ice flow units and downglacier of a topographic high.

Included in this landform group are a set of prominent ridges to the north of Vestfirðir (Figure 2B), not previously described before in the literature due to bathymetry data gaps. Although the timing of glaciation is still poorly constrained, their position adjacent to the shelf edge (consistent with other sectors of the continental shelf), the northerly continuation of mapped MSGLs in nearby troughs, the presence of perennial-sea ice cover stabilising calving margins around Iceland during stadial conditions (Hoff et al., 2016), and the presence of subglacially reworked glacial diamict in present-day water depths of at least 347 m (Andrews et al., 2000) all indicate that grounded ice during the Late Weichselian was probably more extensive in this sector than has been previously speculated (Andrews et al., 2000; Norðdahl and Pétursson, 2005; Hubbard et al., 2006; Norðdahl and Ingólfssson, 2015). Additional sets of arcuate moraines are present closer to the present day Vestfirðir shoreline, demarcating potential still-stands or re-advances of the ice-sheet margin during deglaciation (Figure 2) (Andrews et al., 2002b). Many of these moraines are associated with extensive iceberg keel scouring mapped to depths of 180 m below present sea-level (Syvitski et al., 1999).

Despite a widespread coverage of glacial landforms across the continental shelf, limited marine coring combined with significant reworking of sediments during the last glacial cycle offshore (e.g. Syvitski et al., 1999; Andrews et al., 2000; Andrews and Helgadóttir, 2003; Principato et al., 2005) means that robust chronological constraints on the extension of the LGM ice sheet are, unfortunately, lacking. Notwithstanding this poor chronological control, the geomorphological footprint of shelf-edge glaciation in all sectors, including newly identified moraine ridges north of Vestfirðir (Figure 2C), leaves extensive ice cover in all sectors as the most probable and compelling scenario for Late Weichselian glaciation over Iceland.

Further support for an extensive shelf-edge IIS configuration comes from the maximal extents of neighbouring mid-to-high-latitude ice sheets in the North Atlantic region at LGM. The most recent compilation of dates and reconstructions of the Eurasian Ice Sheet (Hughes et al., 2016) places the “most credible” ice sheet limits at, or close to, the continental shelf break at LGM (c. 27 ka) in the British/North Sea, Norwegian and Svalbard/Barents Sea sectors. Although dating control in these marine sectors is still not particularly firm, the compelling convergence of several lines of evidence (i.e. submarine geomorphology, seismic stratigraphy, seabed cores, ice-rafted debris records, and key dates) (cf. Ottesen et al., 2002; Clark et al., 2012; Patton et al., 2015) with updated numerical modelling (e.g., Hubbard et al., 2009; Patton et al., 2016) strongly suggest a shelf-edge scenario for the Eurasian ice-sheet complex at the LGM. Across the Denmark Strait, the southeastern sector (60-68°N) of the Greenland Ice Sheet also extended to the continental shelf edge at its Late Weichselian maximum (Roberts et al., 2008; Dowdeswell et al., 2010; Vasskog et al., 2015), with major ice streams supplying sediment to trough-mouth fans on the continental slope. Even the small and dynamic ice cap on the Faroe Islands is believed to have fed shelf-edge depocentres at maximum extent during the LGM (Nielsen et al., 2007).

2.2.3. Ice thickness

Onshore in Iceland, table mountains, erosional trimlines and glacial striae have all been used to reconstruct overall ice sheet thickness (Walker, 1965; Einarsson, 1968; Hjort et al., 1985; Norðdahl, 1991; Van Vliet-Lanoë et al., 2007). An extensive suite of cosmogenic ³He exposure ages derived
from table mountain summits within the neovolcanic zone provide an excellent constraint for ice-
thickness changes during deglaciation (Licciardi et al., 2007). Moreover, if the exposure ages
correctly date the timing of deglacial eruptions, the table mountain elevations also provide
minimum altitudes for the LGM ice surface. These range from Herðubreið (1682 m a.s.l.) beneath
the probable central ice divide, to Hafrafell (512 m a.s.l.) at the present-day northeast coastline
(Licciardi et al., 2007).

The Tröllaskagi highlands have historically been the focus of numerous attempts to delimit ice-sheet
thickness at the LGM. Based on geomorphological features such as lateral moraines, meltwater
channels, upper limits of glacial erosion, and differences in weathering, a concept for maximum
Weichselian glaciation in North Iceland was proposed that included significant ice-free areas
interspersed by low gradient and interconnected ice streams (Norðdahl, 1991). Furthermore, the
dendritic nature of the Tröllaskagi valley system was cited as evidence for glaciation by independent
local ice domes rather than invasion from an inland ice sheet (Norðdahl, 1991). The existence of
purported unglaciated coastal mountain areas, such as in the Tröllaskagi highlands, has also been
cited as evidence in support of possible refugia sites for hardy plant species through the Weichselian
— helping to explain the relatively high Holocene species diversity (e.g., Rundgren and Ingólfsson,
1999).

It is worth noting that previous model experiments, where the extent of Late Weichselian ice is
limited at Grímsey, can reproduce ice-free areas across the Tröllaskagi highlands. However,
modelled ice thicknesses vary significantly, by up to +63%, with perturbations in input parameters,
boundary conditions and modelled extent (Hubbard et al., 2006). Studies from around Europe have
demonstrated that distinguishing between zones that were completely ice-free and those protected by cold
based/non-erosive ice based on geomorphology alone is a complex task, with ice-sheet thicknesses
often underestimated (Fabel et al., 2002; Ballantyne, 2010). Unfortunately, the predominantly
basaltic bedrock of Iceland precludes the collection of common paired cosmogenic isotopes (e.g.,
\(^{10}\text{Be}\) and \(^{26}\text{Al}\)) for determining complex exposure histories from mountain summits.

Deglacial cosmogenic \(^{36}\text{Cl}\) exposure ages from erratic boulders in Vestfirðir are spread across the
interval from 26 to 15 ka BP, indicating that the LGM ice sheet could have reached its maximum
prior to this time; subsumed summits to at least 650 m a.s.l., and probably extended a considerable
distance beyond the present-day coastline around northwest Iceland (Brynjólfssson et al., 2015).
Brynjólfssson et al. (2015) interpreted the presence of erratic boulders sitting on top of an
undisturbed block field as evidence of cold-based LGM ice over the uplands of Vestfirðir. Their
conceptual model indicates warm-based ice in the lowlands as witnessed by the fjords, glacially
eroded valleys, and widespread evidence of subglacial erosion.

### 2.3. Deglaciation (<22.8 cal. ka BP)

Dated glaciomarine sediments in cores from Djúpáll reveal deglaciation was underway on the outer
shelf by 22.8 cal. ka BP and had retreated to the mid shelf before 18.5 cal. ka BP (Andrews et al.,
2000, 2002b). Furthermore, a basal date from marine sediments in a core north of Grímsey indicates
that Atlantic Waters were present on the northern shelf >16.5 cal. ka BP (Eiríksson et al., 2000).

Onshore, cosmogenic exposure ages from glacially eroded bedrock in northern Vestfirðir strongly
suggest that thinning of the ice sheet was underway soon after the LGM. Two samples collected
from bedrock on Ármúli (Isafjarðardjúp - 370 m a.s.l.) have produced an average \(^{36}\text{Cl}\) age of 22.3 ka
(20.4 ka with atmospheric correction) (Principato et al., 2006), whilst exposure ages from high-
elevation erratic boulders on Leirufjall suggest ice-sheet thinning over Vestfirðir started as early as c.
26 cal. ka BP (Brynjólfssson et al., 2015). The ages of Principato et al. (2006) and Brynjólfssson et al.
(2015) are, however, not directly comparable because of the different production rates and scaling
parameters used in these respective studies.
2.3.1. Bølling - Allerød (15.4 – 13.0 cal. ka BP)

Seismic profiling and sediment core studies indicate that deglaciation of the Icelandic continental shelf between c. 15-13 cal. ka BP occurred rapidly (Syvitski et al., 1999; Jennings et al., 2000; Andrews and Helgadóttir, 2003; Andrews, 2005), coeval with the northward migration of the Polar Front as well as rapidly rising eustatic sea-levels during the Bølling interstadial (Ingólfsson et al., 1997; Eiriksson et al., 2000; Ingólfsson and Norðdahl, 2001; Lambeck et al., 2014). Over the course of a few centuries between c. 14.7-14.3 cal. ka BP global sea-levels underwent a period of massive change, rising on average at a rate greater than 40 mm per year (Deschamps et al., 2012). Referred to as meltwater pulse 1A, this event was probably a significant driver for the destabilisation of marine-terminating glaciers around Iceland (Norðdahl and Ingólfsson, 2015).

Geological evidence for rapid retreat at this time in part comes from the relatively thin drape of deglacial marine sediments over the continental shelf (Principato et al., 2005; Andrews, 2007; Geirsdóttir et al., 2007), but is also supported by a number of key chronological constraints. Dates from the near base of glaciomarine sediments in Jökuldjúp (15.1-14.9 cal. ka BP; Jennings et al., 2000; Principato et al., 2005) and in northward flowing troughs of Húnaflóadjúp (16.1-15.6 cal. ka BP; Andrews and Helgadóttir, 2003; Principato et al., 2005) indicate ice-free conditions offshore by this time (Figure 18). Similarly aged radiocarbon dates in western Iceland, usually associated with high raised marine shorelines (105-150 m a.s.l.), provide strong evidence that coastal areas here were deglaciated and still submerged between c. 15.0-14.7 cal. ka BP (Ashwell, 1975; Geirsdóttir and Eiriksson, 1994; Ingólfsson and Norðdahl, 2001; Norðdahl and Pétursson, 2005; Norðdahl and Ingólfsson, 2015), also indicating a potentially catastrophic collapse of the near-shelf sectors of Jökuldjúp. A further onshore date of 14.9 cal. ka BP constrains a Bølling marine transgression c. 60 m a.s.l. in northeast Iceland (Norðdahl and Pétursson, 2005), with additional Bølling-aged raised marine shorelines reported on the Reykjanes peninsula (70 m a.s.l.), Breiðafjörður (90-110 m a.s.l.), Vestfirðir (75-95 m a.s.l.), and the Skagi peninsula (65 m a.s.l.) (cf. Norðdahl and Pétursson, 2005).

Given the generally low viscosity of the Iceland lithosphere and asthenosphere, it has been additionally argued that the very high elevations of these raised shorelines could only have formed under extremely rapid deglaciation (Ingólfsson and Norðdahl, 2001).

More quantitative support for this observation has come recently from cosmogenic-nuclide exposure-age dating of table mountain summits within the Iceland neovolcanic zone. The premise that a pulse of enhanced volcanic production immediately followed deglaciation has existed for some time, although the timing and mechanistic link is not well constrained (Jakobsson et al., 1978; Vilmundardóttir and Larsen, 1986; Sigvaldason et al., 1992; Slater et al., 1998; MacIennan et al., 2002; Sinton et al., 2005). However, the mean ages of 42 individual cosmogenic-exposure ages from 13 different table mountains within the neovolcanic zone reveal two discrete intervals of active table mountain growth at c. 14.4-14.2 ka and 11.1-10.5 ka, suggesting that these periods were associated with episodes of rapid ice-sheet thinning or unloading that stimulated enhanced volcanic activity (Licciardi et al., 2007).

Towards the end of the Bølling-Allerød Interstadial and onset of the Younger Dryas Stadal, the IIS began to expand once more. This period is marked by rising relative sea levels and general cooling of the marine environment – witnessed by the appearance of High Arctic mollusc species in western Iceland (Norðdahl and Pétursson, 2005). Rapid isostatic uplift of the crust in response to the swift collapse of marine-based ice-sheet sectors (e.g., Sigmundsson, 1991; Ingólfsson et al., 1995) may also have contributed to a regional relative lowering of the equilibrium-line altitude of the ice sheet, thus enhancing snow accumulation, ice sheet growth and glacier advance.

2.3.2. Younger Dryas & Early Holocene (13.0-10.0 cal. ka BP)

Truncated raised shorelines in the mouths of fjords and valleys around Iceland demonstrate that the ice sheet expanded during the Younger Dryas across many coastal sites that had been ice-free since
Bölling deglaciation (Norðdahl and Pétursson, 2005; cf. Ingólfsson et al., 2010). In northern Iceland the ice sheet extended offshore into various fjords during the Younger Dryas, although elsewhere it was generally less extensive than the present-day coastline (Norðdahl and Halldason, 1992; Geirsdóttir et al., 2000; Principato et al., 2006; Brynjólfsson et al., 2015) (Figure 1). Truncated shorelines of probable Younger Dryas age suggest that the tip of the Langesnes Peninsula remained ice free during this stadial, with the glacier margin some 5 km inland from the present coast (Norðdahl and Hjort, 1995). Marine shorelines of an assumed Younger Dryas age between 58 and 35 m a.s.l. in eastern Iceland also suggest ice was grounded in the heads of the eastern fjords, with many promontories and headlands remaining ice-free (Norðdahl and Einarssson, 2001).

A prominent example of interrupted retreat of the IIS during the Lateglacial period is the Búði moraine system in south-central Iceland – a composite feature of multiple, discontinuous ridges. Most of this complex shows deltaic characteristics with distinct foreset bedding and glaciofluvial sandur accumulation, indicating a transition between marine-coastal and terrestrial environments and demonstrating that the southern lowlands of Iceland were submerged at the time of deposition (Hjartarson and Ingólfsson, 1988; Geirsdóttir et al., 1997, 2000). The occurrence of 11.98 ka BP Vedde Ash in forefield lake sediments, an extensive tephra marker layer associated with the pyroclastic eruption of Katla (Grönvold et al., 1995), along with in situ radiocarbon dates (Table 1) support a Younger Dryas age for the Outer Búði moraine c. 12.1-11.9 ka BP (Geirsdóttir et al., 1997; Norðdahl and Pétursson, 2005; Geirsdóttir, 2011). In central Iceland, cosmogenic exposure ages from table mountains reveal inland ice thickness at this time was c. 550 m (Licciardi et al., 2007).

Offshore ice-rafted debris records from cores within Ísafjarðardjúp reveal that calving glaciers were present on Vestfirðir from the Younger Dryas through to the earliest Holocene – known as the Preboreal (ca. 11 ka BP), with submerged moraines in fjords appearing to support stepwise retreat of this margin (Geirsdóttir et al., 2002). More recent exposure-age dating appears to show that glacier retreat was asynchronous between various northwest fjords between the Allerød and Holocene, with time lags of up to 2-5 ka (Principato et al., 2006; Brynjólfsson et al., 2015). The abrupt climatic termination of the Younger Dryas Stadial (Dansgaard et al., 1989) prompted widespread retreat of the residual IIS, inducing rapid glacio-isostatic rebound (Norðdahl and Einarssson, 2001) that triggered a short-lived volcanic eruptive phase (Licciardi et al., 2007) as well as falling relative sea-levels.

The last, short-lived, advance of the IIS occurred during climate deterioration within the earliest Holocene or Preboreal (c. 11.2 cal. ka BP) (Rundgren et al., 1997; Norðdahl and Einarssson, 2001). Like the Younger Dryas, reconstruction of the ice extent at this time comes largely from the distribution of raised shorelines and ice-marginal landforms, and tephrochronological correlations, associated with a 20-25 m RSL transgression (Ingólfsson et al., 1995, 2010; Norðdahl and Pétursson, 2005). The first island-wide palaeoglaciological reconstruction by Norðdahl and Pétursson (2005) of this time interval reveals an ice sheet only c. 20% smaller than the Younger Dryas ice sheet and with a similar configuration. For example, the Inner Búði moraine system was formed during a Preboreal advance from 11.5-10.1 ka BP, with dated marine molluscs found both in front of and behind the moraine complex (Hjartarson and Ingólfsson, 1988; Geirsdóttir et al., 1997; Norðdahl and Pétursson, 2005).

The greatest differences though lie in the major outlet glaciers of northern Iceland, which had retreated some 30-50 km from the mapped Younger Dryas limits.

By 10.3 ka BP, the main ice sheet had all but disappeared across the Icelandic highlands. This is confirmed by the presence of the Saksnarvatn tephra (c. 10.2 ka, Grönvold et al., 1995; Andrews et al., 2002a) in a number of high-elevation sites, including glacial lake Hvítárvatn (Stötter et al., 1999; Caseldine et al., 2003; Geirsdóttir et al., 2009; Larsen et al., 2012).
3. The ice flow model

Here we use a 3D, time-integrated ice sheet model based on the conservation of mass and heat utilizing Glen’s (1955) flow law implemented under a first-order approximation of the Stokes-equations adopted from Blatter (1995), Hubbard (1999, 2000), Marshall et al. (2005), and Pollard and DeConto (2007). It has previously been applied to Iceland (Hubbard, 2006; Hubbard et al., 2006), the British Isles (Golledge et al., 2008; Hubbard et al., 2009; Patton et al., 2013), Patagonia (Hubbard et al., 2005) and the Eurasian ice-sheet complex (Patton et al., 2016) to investigate the build-up, extent and deglaciation of the palaeo-ice sheets that occupied these regions. The approach to solving the membrane stress and associated strain fields equate to the L1L2 classification of higher-order models defined by Hindmarsh (2004) that includes longitudinal deviatoric stresses that act to stabilise ice flow over steep relief with high rates of basal lubrication and motion. The model is thermomechanically coupled and temperature-dependent internal flow (ice deformation) is complimented by basal motion calculated using Weertman’s (1964) sliding relation when subglacial temperatures attain pressure melting point. The model performs well when compared with second-order and full-Stokes schemes in the ISMIP-HOM benchmark experiments (Pattyn et al., 2008) and has been applied and validated against surface and englacial velocity measurements at Haut Glacier d’Arolla (Hubbard et al., 1998) and Glacier de Tsanfleuron (Hubbard et al., 2003; Chandler et al., 2006) under variable ice rheology. Model derivation, assumptions, limitations and implementation are found in the references above and description here is limited to specific implementation for Iceland.

The model requires key input data and boundary conditions: (i) the present-day reference climate comprising monthly mean air temperature (MMAT) and precipitation, (ii) relaxed basal topography, and (iii) the geothermal heat flux. The model is integrated forward through time from an initial (ice-free) condition and is forced through a time-series of temperature, precipitation and eustatic sea-level perturbations. Key parameters, constants and values are presented in Table 2.

3.1. Climate and mass balance

Surface mass balance, which accounts for ice gains and losses from the ice-sheet surface, is determined by a positive degree-day (PDD) scheme, applied according to Laumann and Reeh (1993), and derives total melt from integrated monthly positive temperatures. Monthly temperature is calculated from the MMAT, perturbed by a sinusoidal function whose maximum and minimum amplitudes are determined by mean monthly July and January temperatures, respectively. Daily cumulative PDDs for each month are calculated using a probability function based on a relationship between the standard deviation of daily to mean monthly temperature. Palaeo-climate forcing is implemented from the 50-year interval NGRIP δ18O record (Andersen et al., 2004), scaled between a maximum prescribed temperature depression and present-day conditions. The inclusion of an additional bulk offset within the temperature scaling calculation controls the degree of fluctuation within the forcing record. Precipitation distributed evenly throughout the year, and accumulates as snow when the surface temperature falls below a threshold of 1 °C. Winter expansion of sea ice across the North Atlantic probably impacted upon precipitation seasonality during stadial conditions, leading to a summer bias in the annual precipitation distribution across maritime sectors (Thomas et al., 2008; Koenigk et al., 2009). Annual precipitation totals were thus likely greater than implied by the effective precipitation volumes recorded by glacier geometries due to the expected increased losses from the system associated with summer rainfall (Golledge et al., 2010).

Both temperature and precipitation adjust to the evolving ice-sheet surface (corrected for isostatic loading) through applied lapse rates, derived from multiple-regression analyses of meteorological observations over the reference period (1961-1990 provided by the Icelandic Meteorological Office) (Björnsson et al., 2007; Crochet et al., 2007) (Figure 3A-C).
Independent variables used in the regression analysis for temperature include easting, northing, and elevation. To determine the spatial pattern of the precipitation, an additional independent parameter was used - \( \delta_{\text{emp}} \) - the residual difference between the summer and winter temperatures, which provides a convenient proxy for “continentiality”. R² values of 90 % and 88 % give confidence that these three variables robustly account for the main temperature variability across the model domain. The R² for the present-day distribution of annual precipitation indicates a weaker correlation at c. 62% (Table S1). A limitation of the model is that we do not calculate the general circulation. Large-scale changes in climate related to shifts in atmospheric circulation are thus not accounted for, although broad scale distributions, for example rain shadow effects, can be incorporated manually by the application of linear gradients.

Mass wastage at tidewater margins is calculated according to the frontal calving geometry using an empirically derived depth-related algorithm (Brown et al., 1982). This calving parameterisation is not physically based but does, implicitly, account for the area of the tidewater front exposed to submarine melting – an important, significant yet under-represented component of mass loss from the termini and ice shelves of marine-ice sheets (e.g. Rignot et al., 2010; Nick et al., 2012; Chauché et al., 2014).

### 3.2. Topography

The model is applied to a finite-difference domain of 1300 x 650 km at 2000 m horizontal resolution encompassing the entire Icelandic continental shelf. Present-day onshore topography was extracted from digital elevation data sourced from http://www.viewfinderpanoramas.org/dem3.html with a resolution of 3 arc seconds (c. 90 m), and offshore bathymetry from the GEBCO_08 world data set at a resolution of 30 arc seconds. All topographic data were merged onto a Gall cylindrical equal-area projection, and a point grid used to extract the required elevation data in order of priority (**Figure 3D**).

### 3.3. Geothermal heat flux

Subsurface thermal gradients are dependent on four factors: 1) the regional heat flow through the crust, 2) hydrothermal activity, 3) permeability of the rock, and, 4) the residual heat build-up in extinct volcanic centres (Flóvenz and Sæmundsson, 1993). Given the strong influence of the active volcanic rift zone dissecting Iceland, background heat-flux values vary considerably from 80 to 310 mW m² across the domain. The pattern of geothermal heat flux used as a basal thermal boundary condition was determined from temperature measurements taken at the base of shallow (30-60 m) boreholes (Flóvenz and Sæmundsson, 1993) that are interpolated across the model domain using a standard kriging gridding algorithm with a linear variogram model containing no anisotropic weighting (**Figure 3E**).

### 4. Experiment results and selection

Although the model has a limited number of primary parameters (cf. Table 1), the uncertainty in model trajectory can be significantly reduced through sensible – empirically guided – parameter choices, and critical comparison of output with geological evidence. However, the challenge in accurately reconstructing the IIS is further compounded by the fact that extreme rates of mass-loss are possible across the marine-terminating margins through calving and submarine melting, introducing potential non-linear feedbacks within the climate–ice-sheet–ocean system. Onshore, the experimental problem is better defined, as here the first-order control on terrestrial ice masses is the spatial distribution of accumulation and ablation (cf. Hindmarsh, 1993). Hence, once offshore, marine ice-sheet dynamics add a level of system complexity regardless of climate forcing. Given the poor chronological constraints available for determining rates of ice-sheet advance and retreat (section 2), any reconstruction generated will remain symptomatically ambiguous.
Despite such uncertainties, broad thresholds for imposed climatic forcing can be identified through incremental iteration of the principal parameters. Furthermore, the optimal reference experiment singled out in this study constitutes a result considered to best represent and honour the most recent advances in our understanding of the extent and dynamics of the former ice sheet. Despite the disparate chronological and geomorphological constraints previously described (cf. section 2) (Figure 1-2), the reconstruction is glaciologically consistent and provides a basis for future refinement once further empirical constraints emerge. Key time-slices tracking the evolution of ice sheet extent and its associated flow dynamics are provided (Figure 4), with corresponding time-series of volume and area (Figure 5).

4.1. Reference experiment

A number of broad features within the reference experiment derived here are consistent with previous empirical and modelled IIS reconstructions: i) the broadly trending east-west orientated ice-divide centred over the northern margin of present-day Vatnajökull and its major dog-leg extension into Vestfirðir, ii) efficient drainage via numerous topographically constrained outlet glaciers that penetrate far into the interior of the ice sheet via the major fjord systems, and iii) a grounding-line well below LGM sea-level driving high rates of calving in marine-sectors.

The reference experiment requires a mean annual air temperature (MAAT) depression of at least 9.5°C from present day values over the duration of the LGM. Additional cooling beyond this threshold has little impact on the ice sheet reaching its maximum extent at the shelf edge, with precipitation rates becoming the key climatic variable for determining the pace of ice build-up and total volume.

However, there are numerous and significant differences between the reference experiment presented here and that of Hubbard et al. (2006) most notably in terms of ice sheet advance and extent to the continental shelf edge. Whereas the reconstruction of Hubbard (2006) actively limited ice development on the Langesnes Peninsula and the northern shelf through the imposition of strong precipitation gradients, here ice expansion to the north, east and west of Iceland is unhampered (Figure 4). Precipitation rates in our reference experiment are reduced across the whole domain during the LGM by 40%, with a positive west to east gradient of 35% imposed from 17.53°W. Moreover, whereas Hubbard (2006) initiates the LGM experiments at 24 ka BP with a 5°C cooling and terminates them at 21 ka BP; experiments here are initiated at 35 ka BP and are forward integrated until 10 ka BP. The increased model time-span enables the ice-sheet to initiate and its climate and flow dynamics to physically and numerically stabilise and relax completely to the imposed forcing.

The ice sheet’s relatively simple form and large, central ice divide mean that growth and retreat of the ice sheet is largely radially symmetrical across marine sectors. Exceptions are along the southern coast where the narrow width of the continental shelf acts as a natural topographic barrier to ice sheet growth. Ice flow is relatively stable throughout the maximum configuration with large sectors of the ice sheet bed consistently at pressure-melting point (Figure 6A), resulting in stable patterns of basal motion and fast-flow drainage throughout. The absence of strong ice-stream switching throughout this maximal configuration, as has been suggested for other palaeo ice sheets (MacAyeal, 1993; cf. Hubbard et al., 2009; Stokes et al., 2016), yields stable margins offshore for almost 2,000 yr during the LGM (Figure 7).

The modelled LGM ice sheet has a maximum total area of 5.62 x 10^5 km^2 and a concomitant volume of 6.58 x 10^6 km^3 - a two-fold increase on both metrics compared to the reconstruction by Hubbard et al. (2006) - and equates to a net eustatic contribution of c. 1.53 m of global sea-level equivalent.

For ice grounded below sea-level, the net mass contribution to sea-level rise was taken from ice lying between the flotation level and the ice surface, calculated assuming an ice density of 917 kg m^-3 and sea-water density of 1030 kg m^-3. Mean LGM ice-thickness is just over 1,172 m, 233 m greater
than that previously reconstructed by Hubbard et al. (2006) (Table 3). The modelled ice-sheet surface rises to an elevation of c. 1850-1900 m along the central drainage divide, attaining a maximum surface height of 2093 m around Öræfajökull, south of Vatnajökull.

4.2. Ice-sheet sensitivity

The LGM reference experiment was subject to a series of sensitivity experiments that explored, in turn, the broad influence of individual parameters on ice sheet form and flow. The following parameters and variables are explored: sea-level forcing; sensitivity to calving; sensitivity to sliding; geothermal heat flux along with initial ice-sheet geometry (Table 3).

4.2.1. Inherited ice-sheet geometry

Given the limited availability of empirical data relating to Icelandic glaciation during MIS3, two experiments were conducted to explore the influence of inherited ice-sheet geometry prior to the ‘LGM’ timeframe (26.5 - 19 ka: Clark et al., 2009). To achieve this the MAAT forcing curve was shifted ±2°C from the reference experiment with imposed precipitation patterns left unchanged.

Despite very contrasting geometric trajectories during initial build-up, the two resulting ice sheets closely match the dimensions of the reference experiment at the peak of the LGM, with areal and volume differences of ≤3 % (Table 3). With the same deglaciation forcings, the ice sheet aligns once more with the mass loss trajectories originally calculated in the reference experiment, revealing that once the ice sheet reaches the shelf break in all sectors a state of equilibrium with the forcing climate appears to be quickly reached.

4.2.2. Sea level and ice calving

Calving has a primary role in the stability of tidewater glaciers and marine ice sheets (Rott et al., 2002; Rignot and Kanagaratnam, 2006; Howat et al., 2007; Rignot et al., 2010). Model sensitivity to calving is thus explored through large-scale perturbations of the calving parameter (C) and also through rescaling of the eustatic forcing curve by ±50 m. Reduction of sea level by a further 50 m yields a slightly larger ice sheet (2.9 %) than the reference experiment. Conversely, an increase of sea levels by 50 m leads to increased calving front losses and a restricted ice sheet that is reduced in volume by 15 % at the LGM. Although the ice sheet still inundates the majority of the Icelandic continental shelf, the mass losses at the ice margin influence ice thickness, with a concomitant ice surface lowering of c. 4 %.

Order-of-magnitude changes in the calving parameter have a dramatic effect on the modelled ice sheet. Increasing the calving parameter yields an ice sheet that is almost exclusively terrestrial based, with corresponding volume and area reductions of 29 % and 22 % respectively. Conversely, a lowered calving parameter value produces significant increases in area and volume by 17 % on the reference experiment. However, ice expansion is limited primarily by the offshore bathymetry, since beyond the continental shelf-edge the sea-floor is too deep for ice to remain grounded.

Further decreasing sensitivity to calving leads to a slight net thinning of the ice sheet at its maximal configuration, driven by ice-sheet expansion into marine sectors that are below the equilibrium line, and thus more susceptible to surface melt processes — a somewhat counter-intuitive result.

4.2.3. Sliding versus internal deformation

Whilst mass balance is the first-order control on ice-sheet growth and decay, ice-flow regime determines the response, sensitivity, and overall ice sheet geometry (i.e., aspect ratio, volume and hypsometry) particularly across marine sectors. Importantly, the partitioning of basal motion within the ice-sheet model is linked to geothermal flux and melting at the ice-bed interface. Experiments were thus conducted to explore the degree to which basal motion is critical to ice-sheet stability and response time, and how altering the effective viscosity affects the ice sheet’s ability to transport mass from the interior to the margin. Where basal sliding occurs, its effectiveness is linked to bed...
roughness; thus the higher the sliding parameter value, the easier ice can flow over obstacles at the bed. Two experiments with order-of-magnitude change in the sliding parameter ($A_{\text{weert}}$) were conducted.

A reduction of $A_{\text{weert}}$ yields a stiffer (increased viscosity), thicker, less extensive ice sheet which has much less mobility. Consequently, the ice sheet is less sensitive to external climatic forcing with a suppressed response and dampened fluctuations in lateral extent. In contrast, increasing $A_{\text{weert}}$ by an order of magnitude facilitates greater mobility and lateral expansion of the ice sheet through increased flow, and results in a lower aspect ratio and mean ice thickness relative to the reference experiment. The main impact of increased basal motion is increased sensitivity of a lower aspect ice sheet to rapid fluctuations, particularly during deglaciation through accelerated flow and draw-down of interior ice to the margins where surface melting, calving processes and the associated dynamic feedbacks dominate.

4.2.4. Geothermal forcing

Changes in geothermal heat flux impact on modelled ice-sheet flow dynamics through their effect on the zonation of subglacial thermal conditions (warm/temperate vs. cold/frozen) and hence the distribution of basal motion. Four experiments examining the influence of the geothermal boundary condition are conducted, using the following scaling values of the present-day geographical distribution of geothermal heat flux: 1.5, 0.5, 0.25 and 0.1.

Increasing the present-day geothermal heat flux throughout the experiment causes negligible dimensional change to the ice sheet (Table 3). The natural topographic barrier of the continental shelf edge prevents any further significant expansion, and with an already low surface-aspect ratio in the reference experiment, ice-sheet volume and thickness remain unchanged. Slight differences are more apparent under geothermal flux reductions. Changes in ice sheet areal extent are generally small, though values of maximum volume, and consequently mean thickness, tend to increase.

Under 10% scaling of the geothermal heat flux (range: 7-31 mW m$^{-2}$), the ice sheet mobility is reduced resulting in a 4% increase in volume, and 5% thicker ice sheet than the reference experiment.

Critically, changes in the geothermal heat flow to the ice-sheet bed has a significant impact on its flow and drainage properties (Figure 7). Under the relatively warm geothermal conditions of the present-day geothermal flux (Figure 2E) the ice sheet experiences constant basal melting, thereby promoting stable and continuous fast-flow drainage and a relatively shallow ice-surface gradient.

Increases to the geothermal flux provide negligible changes, though by coupling a basal hydrological system to the model further insights may be gained in this respect. Conversely, with decreased geothermal heating forcing, the spread of extensive cold-based ice induces dynamic instabilities, causing rapid and dramatic switches in basal motion once basal conditions attain pressure melting point during periods of climate amelioration. These flow cycles are increasingly vigorous when the ice sheet is thinnest during the first 10 ka of ice build-up, with dramatic switching in ice discharge varying by a factor of 4-5 on centennial timescales.

When geothermal fluxes are modified to values more closely aligned with background continental fluxes (0.25G: 17-77 mW m$^{-2}$), the coverage of areas that could potentially host cold-based ice become evident. If the present-day ice caps are ignored, where it has not been possible to incorporate subglacial topographies, cold-based areas are abundant among the high relief of the south-eastern and eastern fjords, the Tröllaskagi Highlands, and to a lesser extent the Vestfirðir peninsula (Figure 6). For the latter, the pronounced geothermal hotspot across Snæfellsnes keeps basal conditions persistently warm on this peninsula, particularly in the east (Figure 3E; Flóvenz and Sæmundsson, 1993).
5. Discussion

5.1. Ice-flow directions and ice limits

Pre-LGM radiocarbon dates from Reykjanesøskagi, which at this time would have been within the sub-aerially exposed Faxafjöll indicate that the ice sheet probably traversed this peninsula c. 28 cal. ka BP (Figure 1A). From an initial ice-free domain, the modelled ice sheet is able to reach this coastline position within 7 ka of glaciation, expanding rapidly in response to strong precipitation input and the coalescence of five major ice nucleation centres over the four present-day ice caps and the Tröllaskagi Highlands. Limited relief over Vestfirðir leads to more subdued ice growth here that eventually becomes engulfed by the mainland ice sheet c. 29 ka BP. The close proximity of the southern shelf edge means the maximum ice-sheet extent in this sector is reached considerably early during the glaciation at c. 29 cal. ka BP. Elsewhere, the last terrestrial areas of Iceland to be overrun by ice include the tips of the Langanes, Vestfirðir (Látrabjarg) and Snæfellsnes peninsulas at 27.8 ka BP.

Growth of the modelled ice sheet continues synchronously up to the continental shelf edge to the north, east and west, reaching this position in all sectors by c. 23.7 ka BP. Here the IIS remains stable for the next 2 ka, reaching an absolute maximum extent at 22.9 ka BP, with significant retreat initiating after c. 21.8 ka BP. The total contribution to global eustatic sea-level rise at this time reaches a maximum value of 1.53 m

The symmetric nature of the ice sheet, as well its radial ice-discharge pattern, results in a very stable central ice divide that undergoes little migration throughout the entire glaciation. Isostatic deepening of the offshore troughs, coupled with the short isostatic response time of the crust (Sigmundsson, 1991), further stabilises the pattern of ice drawdown from the interior of the ice sheet into marine-based corridors.

Glacial lineations are a common landform signature of palaeo-ice sheets, indicative of fast, ice-streaming flow (Clark, 1993; Ó Cofaigh et al., 2002; Stokes and Clark, 2002; King et al., 2009), and have been readily identified across the Icelandic shelf to infer the presence of numerous ice streams that drained ice towards the shelf edge and an ice divide across central Iceland from east to west (Bourgeois et al., 2000; Stokes and Clark, 2001; Spagnolo and Clark, 2009; Principato et al., 2016). These flow sets mapped from Landsat satellite imagery and the Olex bathymetry database (Figure 2) reveal a good correspondence both on- and offshore (Figure 8), supporting the radial form and detailed flow pattern of the reference model experiment presented here. Closer analysis of modelled vectors reveals a pattern of flow adjustments through the latter stages of deglaciation. For example, ice flow towards Húnaflói and Héraðsfjöll (sector 1 & 4 – Figure 8) both show an increased correspondence with the geomorphological record during the last major readvance of the ice sheet in the Younger Dryas. Such subtle variations in ice-sheet flow are typical of internal reconfigurations during ice-sheet thinning as ice divides adjust in response to the increasing influence of bed topography, though may also be a reflection of the greater abundance of empirical data reported onshore.

5.2. Ice-sheet collapse

At the LGM, 60% of the IIS was grounded below sea level, of which two-thirds (40% of the IIS) was grounded in water depths greater than 100 m (Figure 4). In terms of net contributions to global sea level, 53 % of the total 1.5 m s.l.e. of the maximum IIS came from grounded ice with a bed below sea level. Compared to ice cover over West Antarctica, the only present-day marine-based ice sheet, this contribution is much higher at 79 % (Fretwell et al., 2013). In both instances, these values highlight the sensitivity of both marine-based ice sheets to external fluctuations in oceanographic forcings such as sea-level or ocean temperature.
Limited dating suggests retreat of the IIS from the shelf was rapid, probably largely forced by oceanographic drivers including rising sea-level and the northwards migration of the Polar Front (Ingólfsson and Norðdahl, 1994, 2001; Andrews et al., 2000; Eiriksson et al., 2000; Jennings et al., 2000; Geirsdóttir et al., 2002; Andrews, 2005, 2008; Norðdahl and Ingólfsson, 2015). The general absence of submarine recessional-moraine sequences has been used to support this hypothesis, though with few clear offshore topographic pinning points, deglaciation was probably predisposed to unstable retreat (e.g. Dyke, 2004). The northwest sector (Vestfirdir) presents the single exception to this generalised pattern, where several nested suites of large offshore moraines record local grounded still-stands and/or readvances (Figure 2).

Surface-exposure (36Cl) dating of bedrock and boulders from Vestfirdir suggests that the ice sheet was thinning across the uplands here as early as 26.2 ka BP (Brynjólfsson et al., 2015). Although the reference experiment fails to reproduce this early thinning necessary to expose nunataks on the Vestfirdir peninsula, the absolute elevation of the ice surface was lowering from c. 24 ka BP in response to the propagating isostatic depression of the crust. The isostatic response to ice-sheet loading could therefore provide a mechanism by which nunataks emerge early above the ice-sheet. Through rapid depression of the interior sectors of the ice sheet, the relative shift of the equilibrium-line altitude up-glacier would subsequently expose far greater areas of the ice sheet to ablation processes, potentially prior to any external climatic forcing.

From the maximal configuration at c. 21.8 ka BP, deglaciation occurs in two phases with distinctly different styles. While initial instability is triggered by abrupt climate warming, subsequent retreat ensues through disproportionate losses from calving (c. 45% of total losses; Table 4; Figure 9). Modelled ice retreat from the shelf edge to the present-day coastline is accomplished within 3.8 ka along eastern sectors, and 5.0 ka in the west; the longer timeframe in accordance with the presence of potential recessional moraines on the shelf in this sector, and possibly sustained by the topographic influence of Vestfirdir nearby. The volumetric loss is significant, with average mass wastage rates of c. 71 Gt a⁻¹ between 21.8 ka BP to 18.0 ka BP - equating to a mean eustatic sea-level contribution of 0.196 mm a⁻¹. For context, contemporary mass balance estimates for the West Antarctic ice sheet and the Greenland ice sheet have been recently estimated at -102±18 and -263±30 Gt a⁻¹ respectively (Shepherd et al., 2012; Hanna et al., 2013). For the IIS, an ice sheet with a maximum volume less than a third of the present West Antarctic Ice Sheet (Fretwell et al., 2013), the implication is that once deglaciation initiated it was extremely rapid.

As climate amelioration continues and the ice sheet becomes progressively terrestrial based, a switch in deglaciation style occurs, whereby surface melting begins to dominate the mass balance regime. Topographic pinning points between the island’s peninsulas halt the rapid retreat of the ice sheet from the outer shelf at 16.3 ka BP, holding the ice margin in place until further abrupt warming during the Bølling interstadial at 14.9 ka BP initiates a second ice-sheet-wide collapse. This phase of rapid deglaciation is a common feature to all model experiments (Figure 5), with mass losses occurring at a mean rate of 221 Gt a⁻¹ over 740 years (melt >91% of total losses; Table 4; Figure 9), and resulting in a Late Weichselian minimum extent of 0.986 x 10⁶ km² shortly after at 13.2 ka BP (Figure 4).

A similar-style ice-sheet collapse event on the inner Icelandic shelf within a few centuries has been inferred based on radiocarbon dates of c. 15.0 cal. ka BP in Jökuldjúp and 14.8 cal. ka BP from the 150 m high marine limit at Stóri-Sandhóll, west Iceland (Jennings et al., 2000; Ingólfsson and Norðdahl, 2001; Principato et al., 2005) (Figure 18). Various factors have been suggested to account for this dramatic 125 km retreat inland, including a contemporaneous and rapid eustatic sea-level rise during MWP-1A, in combination with a short-lived temporal equilibrium between glacio-isostatic uplift and sea-level rise (Norðdahl and Ingólfsson, 2015). While the marine-terminating ice sheet would have undoubtedly been sensitive to rapid fluctuations in oceanic forcings, the model clearly...
relates rapid retreat at this time to atmospheric warming significantly elevating the equilibrium-line altitude. The surface area of the ablation zone across the ice sheet rises from 35% to 83% in just 500 years. 

Figure 10), this dynamic further intensified by the rapidly responding isostatic rebound of the crust at this time.

The isostatic adjustment scheme coupled to the ice-sheet model (in this study) predicts that the greatest depression during the LGM probably occurred over northwest Iceland. This area coincides with the areas of greatest ice thicknesses at the LGM, in the major topographic lows of Breiðafjörður and Húnaflói close to the central ice divide (Figure 11). Isostatic adjustment values at this time equate to c. 200 m depression below the present-day level, far from the 570 m of depression predicted by Norðdahl & Ingólfsson (2015) at the present-day coastline using steady-state equations. Further discrepancies in southern Iceland between the position of the modelled coastline and the marine ice-contact deltas found in the Búði moraine complex at the time of the Younger Dryas (Figure 12) (Geirsdóttir et al., 1997) indicate that isostatic depression is underestimated within the reference experiment. Considering uplift rates during deglaciation reached as high as 107 mm a⁻¹ (Rundgren et al., 1997), the acute sensitivity and heterogeneity of crustal deformation around Iceland makes this a clear challenge for ice-coupled modelling. Accurate reconstruction of relative sea-level curves and uplift rates would therefore be best resolved through explicit Glacial Isostatic Adjustment (GIA) modelling, whereby independent predictions of ice thickness can be incorporated to explore the full range of viable Earth rheology parameters (e.g., Auriac et al., 2013).

5.3. Ice sheet aspect ratio

The collection of numerous cosmogenic-exposure age dates from table mountains within the neovolcanic zone (Licciardi et al., 2007) provides valuable constraints with which to reconstruct the surface-aspect ratio and slope of the retreating ice sheet. While these dates are limited to two discrete time intervals during deglaciation related to episodes of high volcanic activity (c. 14.4 - 14.2 ka and 11.1 - 10.5 ka), the data consistently predict a low aspect-ratio ice-sheet surface with slope gradients between 1:125 and 1:180. The geometry of the reference modelled ice sheet presented here reproduces similar shallow surface gradients of between 1:172 and 1:192 during deglaciation (Figure 13) providing verification that the mass-balance and flow regime are broadly correct and consistent.

North of Vatnajökull, during the Bølling climatic oscillation at 14.7 ka BP, the modelled ice sheet overruns the isostatically adjusted table mountain summits of Bláfjall and Gaesafljóll by c. 400 m, more than the 15% of the total thickness as suggested by Smellie (2000) necessary for their subglacial formation. The modelled ice sheet margin falls 10 km short of Snartarstaðarnúpur, though the low elevation of this mountain indicates that ice cover was probably not particularly thick here when it last erupted. Differences southwest of Langjökull are more pronounced due, in part, to conflicting interpretations of the extent and form of the Younger Dryas ice sheet. The reference model extent was largely constrained in this sector by the large Búði moraine complex (Figure 1) (Geirsdóttir et al., 1997, 2000). Dated table mountain summits outside of this limit (e.g. Geitafell and Hvalfell), contradict this interpretation, indicating that ice extent was possibly much greater at this time. On discussion of their samples, Licciardi et al. (2007) noted that any snow or rime-ice shielding over the mountain summits could increase the reported ages by up to 18%. Though this may not be sufficient to account for differences in the modelled ice extent, it raises the possibility that mountains near the margin of the ice sheet may have hosted persistent and independent ice fields during the Younger Dryas stadial.

Modelled ice surface slopes are even shallower within the ice-sheet interior during the maximal configuration (> 1:208), comparable with slope measurements from the present-day Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets (cf. Bamber et al., 2013; Fretwell et al., 2013). Despite this, the modelled
Icelandic ice sheet is still sufficiently thick to override previously assumed nunataks, such as in the 
Tröllaskagi highlands (Norðdahl, 1983), and on Vestfirðir and Skagi (Principato and Johnson, 2009).
Based on palaeo-analogues in other glaciated regions (e.g. Ballantyne, 2010; Fabel et al., 2012), the 
assumption that the erosional (trimline) boundaries mapped in Iceland represent palaeo-ice surfaces
is worthy of re-examination in the context of the shelf-edge glaciation model presented here.

5.4. The Younger Dryas
The reference model experiment of the Younger Dryas Stadial presented is in good agreement with
leading interpretations from the empirical record, with ice margins restricted largely to the present-
day terrestrial landmass (Figure 12). Truncated raised marine shorelines in the mouths of fjords and
major valleys around Iceland demonstrate that the Younger Dryas ice sheet expanded across many
coastal sites that had been ice-free since the Bølling deglaciation (~14 cal. ka BP) (cf. Ingólfsson et al.,
2010). For example, large outlet glaciers filled the largest northern fjords of Skagafjörður, Eyjafjörður
and Skjálfandi (Norðdahl and Hafldason, 1992; Norðdahl and Pétursson, 2005), draining ice from the
main central ice-divide. However, occurrences of the Skógar-Vedde tephra in ice-dammed lake
sediments in Fjóskadalur show that numerous ice-free enclaves existed in north central Iceland at
this time (Norðdahl and Hafldason, 1992). This evidence indicates that the modelled ice sheet
reconstruction could be generally too thick in this sector. Furthermore, truncated shorelines on the
Langesnes Peninsula also reveal that only the easternmost part of the peninsula was probably ice-free
during the YD (Pétursson, 1991; Norðdahl and Hjort, 1995). However, model experiments
consistently fail to glaciate this northeastern sector due to the long distance from the nearest
accumulation centre around present-day Vatnajökull.

Northeast of Reykjavik at Helgafellsmelar, the termination of the Younger Dryas glacial advance is
marked by ice-contact deltas and related shoreline features radiocarbon dated to 11.8 cal. ka BP
(Ingólfsson et al., 1995). However, this position is difficult to reconcile with an apparent Younger
Dryas ice limit also constrained by the Búði moraine complex ~100 km to the east (cf. Norðdahl et
al., 2008). In light of this evidence, it is probable that local (semi) independent ice fields persisted on
the higher terrain of Reykjaneskagi throughout the Younger Dryas stadial (e.g., Geirsdóttir and
Eiríksson, 1994).

5.5. Geothermal forcing
A major question regarding the LGM configuration is the extent of ice cover on Vestfirðir and its
association with the mainland ice sheet. Geomorphological features such as preserved upland block-
fields, arêtes and old 36Cl dates have been used to infer the presence of extensive cold-based ice or
minimal glacial erosion here during the LGM (Principato et al., 2006; Brynjólfsson et al., 2015).
Model experiments presented here appear to contradict the empirical record in this respect due to
the effect of the large geothermal fluxes recorded from eastern Vestfirðir encouraging permanent
subglacial melt in this sector (Figure 3E; Flóvenz and Sæmundsson, 1993). By reducing the
geothermal flux to nearer background continental values, basal thermal partitioning does indeed
become more pronounced, with upland areas remaining frozen for up to 45% longer during
glaciation (Figure 6). Given the sensitive nature of the ice sheet to the geothermal boundary
condition, it appears further data concerning the heterogeneous distribution of the geothermal flux
across Iceland are necessary before accurate modelling of the basal thermal regime can be achieved.

At the broad scale, model experiments confirm that geothermal heat gradients can exert a primary
influence on ice sheet sensitivity and dynamic response. For the IIS, increased geothermal heat
supply to the ice-bed interface plays a minor role on ice growth trajectories (Table 3), which is
ultimately restricted by the continental shelf edge. However, it is reductions in geothermal forcing to
values closer to those measured over ancient continental shields that lead to the most notable
changes in its behaviour.
The predominant response is the shift from persistent pressure melting induced at the base of the ice sheet to a cyclical phasing of ice-stream shutdown and reactivation on sub-millennial timescales **(Figure 6):** Spatial partitioning of basal temperatures through the Late Weichselian glacial cycle under various geothermal flux scenarios. Dark green areas are more likely to be warm based throughout the glaciation, while purple regions more likely to be cold based. Regions beneath the present-day ice caps are masked, as data relating to their subglacial topographies were not included in the ice flow model and therefore basal conditions here are not calculated accurately.

**Figure 7**. The timing and dynamics of these flow-phasing events are determined through a combination of basal thermomechanical switching spatially propagated and amplified through longitudinal coupling. However, it is the major oscillations within the NG9P climatic record used to force the model that modulate the main phases of streaming activity. Relatively thin ice-sheet outlet glaciers, particularly those terminating in marine sectors, are most sensitive to these changes where advection of warmer ice through the ice-mass is rapidly achieved, inducing basal melting and subsequent fast-flow. Such dynamic behaviour resonates with other modelling studies showing that basal ice temperatures are highly sensitive to relatively small changes in geothermal heat flow (Greve and Hutter, 1995; Siegert and Dowdeswell, 1996; Tarasov and Peltier, 2003; Greve, 2005).

In previous studies where spatially varying geothermal heat-flow distributions have been used, modelled ice-sheet reconstructions have shown significant sensitivity and variation (e.g. Näslund et al., 2005; Rogozhina et al., 2012). For palaeo-ice sheets where geothermal heat flow is relatively heterogeneous, or dominated by relatively low continental flux values, the implication for transient dynamic behaviour is significant. Examples include the eastern Laurentide and northern Fennoscandian ice sheet sectors, flowing over bedrock largely composed of Caledonian-age crystalline lithologies. Borehole measurements in these regions have revealed low geothermal heat fluxes of \(< 34 \text{ mW m}^{-2}\) (Lubimova et al., 1972; Kukkonen, 1989; Rolando et al., 2003). The increased sensitivity of the ice sheet to thermal conditions at the ice-bed interface partly explains the resulting unstable dynamics, or ice-stream purges, that have been inferred for these sectors during deglaciation under a rapidly changing climate (e.g. MacAyeal, 1993; Papa et al., 2005; Winsborrow et al., 2010). Moreover, geothermal hotspots have been identified beneath the current Polar ice sheets, including the Northeast Greenland ice stream (Fahnestock et al., 2001), and the Siple Coast, West Antarctica (Engelhardt, 2004; Winberry and Andakrishnan, 2004; Corr and Vaughan, 2008), where the first direct measurement of geothermal heat flux has been recorded at 285 ± 80 mW m\(^{-2}\) beneath the Whillans ice stream (Fisher et al., 2015) – comparable with the upper range of fluxes within the current Icelandic neovolcanic zone. The implications of our findings for ice-stream stability and rapid ice sheet drawdown are thus significant for determining scenarios of future non-linear deglaciation.

### 6. Conclusions

- Limited empirical data from a variety of sources indicate that an extensive marine-based ice sheet occupied the Icelandic continental shelf between 28.1 – 15.4 cal. ka BP. Although chronological constraints are poorly distributed, the offshore glacial geomorphological footprint described here indicates that the Icelandic ice sheet probably reached the continental shelf edge in all sectors during the Last Glacial Maximum before rapidly collapsing.
- In this paper we incorporate coupled climate-flow modelling to examine this concept of a shelf-wide Late Weichselian Icelandic Ice Sheet within the context of available empirical constraints, providing a robust reconstruction that describes the growth and subsequent deglaciation trajectory through to the Younger Dryas and Early Holocene.
- The maximum areal extent of the reference ice sheet is almost double the previous reconstruction by Hubbard et al. (2006) at 5.62 x 10\(^5\) km\(^2\). Due to the primary influence of geothermal heat supply on the dynamics of the Icelandic ice sheet, a low aspect ratio is
maintained with a mean thickness of 1172 m and volume of $6.58 \times 10^5 \text{ km}^3$ at the LGM, equivalent to 1.53 m of eustatic sea level.

- At the LGM, 60 % of the Icelandic ice sheet was grounded below sea-level, bounded across all sectors by an active calving margin that extended to the continental shelf break. During subsequent deglaciation the retreat rate of this marine-based ice sheet was rapid, losing mass at a mean rate of 71 Gt a$^{-1}$ between 21.8 and 18.0 cal. ka BP.

- Once pinned on the present-day coastline, the ice sheet underwent a second more abrupt phase of collapse at the start of the Bølling-Allerød interstadial (GI-1e), losing mass at a mean rate of 221 Gt a$^{-1}$ over the course of c. 750 years, forcing retreat of the ice sheet firmly into terrestrial sectors. The bulk of mass loss during this period comes from surface melt in response to climate warming, forcing a widespread increase in the elevation of the equilibrium-line altitude and exacerbated by the already low aspect-ratio of the ice surface.

- While the areal extent of the ice sheet has a strong topographic control, ice thickness and volume respond dramatically to long-term changes in the basal thermal regime, and consequently the effective mobility of the ice sheet. Reduced geothermal heat flow to the ice-bed interface increases the sensitivity of marginal sectors to cycles of centennial-scale fast-flow conditions. These are in turn are modulated by transitions from cold to warm phases of the NGRIP temperature forcing curve.

- The major influence that basal geothermal heat supply imparts on ice-sheet flow dynamics suggests that further work is required to explore the role of this transient boundary condition. Adopting a fully coupled ice-lithosphere-mantle model, in addition to the introduction of a subglacial hydrology layer, would provide further insight into the behaviour, evolution and feedbacks between ice sheets and their geothermally active beds.
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Figure captions

Figure 1: A) Suggested limits of the last Icelandic Ice Sheet based on geophysical data observations, alongside radiocarbon dates (cal. ka BP) constraining an upper age for Late Weichselian glacier expansion (red) and radiocarbon dates from probable reworked sediments (yellow) (cf. Table 1). B) Key radiocarbon dates constraining deglaciation of the Late Weichselian ice sheet, alongside the speculated limits of the Younger Dryas glacier expansion (Pétursson et al., 2015). The present-day neovolcanic zone is characterised by relatively high geothermal heat fluxes and volcanic eruptions, forming part of the active Mid-Atlantic lithosphere boundary.

Figure 2: A) Overview of the glacial geomorphology of the Iceland continental shelf (Spagnolo and Clark, 2009), corroborated and supplemented with additional landform mapping from Landsat satellite imagery (onshore) and the EMODnet DTM (offshore – dark colours). Increased bathymetry data coverage to the northwest in particular reveal a number of newly identified glacial landforms close to the shelf edge. Trough extents are delimited as local topographic lows relative to the surrounding terrain. Flow sets onshore are grouped according to flow direction affinity and contiguity. B) Bathymetric profile over a prominent moraine ridge close to the northern shelf edge.

Figure 3: Model boundary conditions, climatic values derived from multiple regression analysis in Table 2. A) Mean January temperature, B) Mean July temperature, C) Mean annual precipitation, D) Merged topographic datasets, E) Geothermal heat flux - circle radii are scaled to the geothermal flux from each borehole, and F) Names and locations of the present day ice caps across Iceland. The blue arrow indicates the starting longitude and direction of the positive west-east precipitation gradient applied within the reference experiment.

Figure 4: Selected time slices showing surface-ice velocities between 31.0 and 11.7 ka BP from the reference model experiment. Compared to the reconstruction of Hubbard et al. (2006) shown in light blue, maximum expansion to the north, east and west is evident during the LGM.

Figure 5: Areal and volumetric sensitivity of the optimal experiment to magnitude changes in model parameter values. Abbreviations: A
weert (sensitivity to sliding), C (sensitivity to calving), SL (relative sea level), and T (mean annual air temperature prior to 28.5 ka BP).

Figure 6: Spatial partitioning of basal temperatures through the Late Weichselian glacial cycle under various geothermal flux scenarios. Dark green areas are more likely to be warm based throughout the glaciation, while purple regions more likely to be cold based. Regions beneath the present-day ice caps are masked, as data relating to their subglacial topographies were not included in the ice flow model and therefore basal conditions here are not calculated accurately.

Figure 7: The effects of scaled present-day geotherm forcing on the mean basal velocity of the ice sheet through the last glacial cycle. Centennial-scale thermomechanical switching, modulated by transitions in the climate forcing from relatively warm to cold conditions, become more apparent with reductions in the supply of heat to the base of the ice sheet. Increases beyond the present-day geothermal flux have negligible effects on the mean basal velocity regime.

Figure 8: Modelled basal velocity vectors (red) versus observed orientations of mapped glacial lineations (blue) on- and offshore during various stages of modelled ice retreat at 22.9, 14.7 and 11.7 ka BP. Notable examples of improved flow correspondence through deglaciation include group i offshore, and flow sets 1 and 4 onshore.

Figure 9: Mass turnover (Gt a⁻¹) and volume changes of the modelled Icelandic Ice Sheet through the Late Weichselian. Two periods of intensive deglaciation post-LGM are indicated, with greatest mass losses occurring during the climate warming of the Lateglacial interstadial. Greenland Interstadials
are defined by the INTIMATE event stratigraphy (Rasmussen et al., 2014), and climate forcing applied through a scaled version of the NGRIP ice-core record (Section 3.1) (Andersen et al., 2004).

**Figure 10:** A) Changes in the proportion of the ice surface experiencing surface melting over a period of 500 years, leading to rapid retreat of the ice sheet during the Lateglacial interstadial; B-C) Sharp rises in the mean annual air temperature at this time led to more intensive surface melting at the ice sheet margins.

**Figure 11:** Ice thicknesses at the Last Glacial Maximum, showing thickest ice cover over the Breiðafjörður and Húnaflói. Present-day topography above 1 km a.s.l. (including the present-day ice caps) is indicated in black.

**Figure 12:** Surface velocities of the modelled Younger Dryas ice sheet, with the contemporary coastline calculated from isostatically adjusted topography. The ice sheet at this time reached a maximum elevation of c. 1750 m a.s.l. Speculated empirical limits for glacial extent at this time (black line) are shown for comparison (Pétursson et al., 2015).

**Figure 13:** Transects through the neovolcanic zone (inset – red) indicating locations of cosmogenic-exposure dated table mountains and modelled ice-sheet profiles from the reference experiment through deglaciation. Dated table mountains from Liccari et al. (2007) include: (Bl) Bláfjall, (Bú) Búrfell, (Gæ) Gæsafjöll, (Ge) Geitafell, (Ha) Hafrafell, (He) Herðubreið, (Hö) Högnhöfdi, (Hv) Hvalfell, (R) Rauðafell, (Sk) Skriða, and (Sn) Snartarstaðarnúpur.
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Table captions

Table 1: Reported $^{14}$C ages were recalibrated (unless starred) using the program Calib 7.1 (Stuiver and Reimer, 1993) and the IntCal13/MARINE13 calibration curves (Reimer et al., 2013). A $\Delta R$ value of $24\pm23$ was used to account for local effects on the global reservoir correction (Håkansson, 1983).

Table 2: Principal parameters, constants and values used to force the ice-sheet model.

Table 3: Sensitivity of the reference experiment to magnitude changes of model parameters that control ice flow, calving, sea-level forcing and the basal geothermal heat flux. Variations in the MAAT climate forcing are shifted prior to 28.5 ka BP to influence the pre-LGM size of the ice sheet. Scaled variations of $G$ are based upon the present-day distribution (including the neovolcanic zones). Ice volume, area, thickness values are taken from the maximal ice-sheet timeslice.

Table 4: Principal components of mass loss post LGM.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core/Lab ID</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Lat N</th>
<th>Long W</th>
<th>Uncorrected age ($^{14}$C yr BP)</th>
<th>Median probability age (cal. ka BP)</th>
<th>$2\sigma$ range (cal. ka BP)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Jökuldjúp</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA-12896</td>
<td>Syvitski et al. [1999]; Jennings et al. [2000]</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>17.06</td>
<td>13,105 ± 85</td>
<td>15,068</td>
<td>14,652 – 15,368</td>
<td>N. labradorica found in glacial marine unit with dropstones. Within 1 m of the underlying ice contact deposit. Sampled from the core cutter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Latra Bank</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-1227GGC</td>
<td>Syvitski et al. [1999]</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>36,050 ± 560</td>
<td>40,215</td>
<td>38,970 – 41,369</td>
<td>Cibicides lobatulus from possible exposed older (pre LGM) sediments due to glacial erosion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-1220GGC</td>
<td>Andrews et al. [2000]</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>18,090 ± 80</td>
<td>21,364</td>
<td>21,045 – 21,660</td>
<td>N. pachyderma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JM96-1221GGC</td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>18,240 ± 80</td>
<td>21,579</td>
<td>21,301 – 21,849</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Djúpáll</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JM96-1234GGC</td>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>35.15</td>
<td>15,720 ± 70</td>
<td>18,564</td>
<td>18,373 – 18,735</td>
<td>Mixed benthics from the base of core.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Northern troughs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97-317PC</td>
<td>Andrews et al. [2000]</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>35.27</td>
<td>12,270 ± 100</td>
<td>13,702</td>
<td>13,454 – 13,947</td>
<td>N. labradoricum and C. teretis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B997-322PC</td>
<td>Andrews et al. [2000]</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>56.29</td>
<td>42,600 ± 3,050</td>
<td>45,590</td>
<td>41,333 – *</td>
<td>Mixed benthic and planktic forams c. 1 m within massive matrix supported diamicrt. Mixed benthic and planktic forams, c. 2 m within massive matrix supported diamicrt. Mixed benthic and planktic forams, at the base of glacimarine muds above massive matrix supported diamicrt (till). Mixed benthic and planktic foraminifera within fine-grained sediments (marine). Mixed benthic foraminifera at the interface between massive matrix supported diamicrt and fine-grained sediments (marine). Foraminifera, total benthic fauna within marine sediments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B997-323PC1</td>
<td>Principato et al. [2005]</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>50.78</td>
<td>13,440 ± 190</td>
<td>15,569</td>
<td>15,021 – 16,166</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Author(s) and Year</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Age (± Error)</th>
<th>Weighted Mean Age (± Error)</th>
<th>Marine Limit (m a.s.l.)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HM107-05</td>
<td>Eiríksson et al. [2000]</td>
<td>66 54.32</td>
<td>17 54.31</td>
<td>14,100 ± 140</td>
<td>16,506</td>
<td>16,102 – 16,969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Búdi moraines</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR-1241</td>
<td>Geirsdóttir et al. [1997]</td>
<td>Búdafoss</td>
<td>10,290 ± 140</td>
<td>11,340</td>
<td>10,943 – 11,878</td>
<td>Balanus balanus within uppermost glaciomarine unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu-2403</td>
<td>Hjartarson and Ingólfsson [1988]</td>
<td>Búdafoss</td>
<td>10,220 ± 90</td>
<td>11,197</td>
<td>10,938 – 11,563</td>
<td>Balanus balanus on the surface of diamicite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reykjanesskagi</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-2898</td>
<td>Norðdahl [1991]</td>
<td>Varmá, Helgafelssmelar</td>
<td>10,780 ± 110</td>
<td>12,197</td>
<td>11,796 – 12,566</td>
<td>Balanus balanus collected in the lowermost part of a gravel pit in a raised terrace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR-2803</td>
<td>Jóhannesson et al. [1997]; Norðdahl &amp; Pétursson [2005]</td>
<td>Sandgerði</td>
<td>24,510 ± 200</td>
<td>28,130</td>
<td>27,751 – 28,567</td>
<td>Weighted mean age from 6 marine shell samples from stratified silty fine sand resting on glacially striated (215°) bedrock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR-1901</td>
<td>Eiríksson et al. [1997]</td>
<td>Sudernes</td>
<td>28,750 ± 480</td>
<td>32,288</td>
<td>31,289 – 33,441</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Western Iceland</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAR-3734</td>
<td>Magnusdóttir &amp; Norðdahl</td>
<td>Stora-Fellsöxl</td>
<td>12,940 ± 80</td>
<td>14,736</td>
<td>14,268 – 15,111</td>
<td>Whalebone 80 m a.s.l., constraining a marine limit of 105 m a.s.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ua-21222</td>
<td>Ingólfsson &amp; Norðdahl [2001]</td>
<td>Stóri-Sandhöll</td>
<td>12,975 ± 105</td>
<td>14,792</td>
<td>14,252 – 15,185</td>
<td>Mollusc 135 m a.s.l., constraining a marine limit of 150 m a.s.l.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu-3118</td>
<td>Ingólfsson et al. [1995]</td>
<td>Helgafellsmeral</td>
<td>10,580 ± 90</td>
<td>11,793</td>
<td>11,327 – 12,158</td>
<td>Balanus balanus shells in sandy layers within a glacimarine diamicton unit, above subglacial till.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eastern Iceland</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
<td>Value</td>
<td>Units</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g$ Gravity</td>
<td>9.81</td>
<td>m s$^{-2}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$ Density of ice</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>kg m$^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_w$ Density of sea water</td>
<td>1028</td>
<td>kg m$^{-3}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N$ Glen flow-law exponent</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{\text{weert}}$ Weertman sliding parameter</td>
<td>$7.5 \times 10^{-14}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$ Sliding-law exponent</td>
<td>1 – 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$SF$ Sliding factor</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_s$ Sliding-law coefficient</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>m kPa$^{-3}$ a$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_0$ Deformation enhancement</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_c$ Calving parameter</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>a$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$PDD_{\text{ice}}$ PDD coefficient for ice</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>m °C m$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$PDD_{\text{snow}}$ PDD coefficient for snow</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>m °C m$^{-1}$ d$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$ Temperature</td>
<td>$T - 8.7 \times 10^{-4}(S - z)$</td>
<td>K</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{snow-rain}}$ Snow-rain threshold</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$ Gas constant</td>
<td>8.314</td>
<td>J mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_i$ Thermal conductivity</td>
<td>$2115.3 + 7.93 (T-273.15)$</td>
<td>J m$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ a$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_p$ Specific heat capacity</td>
<td>$3.1 \times 10^8 \exp(-0.0057T)$</td>
<td>J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$ a$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi$ Internal frictional heating</td>
<td></td>
<td>J m$^{-3}$ a$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G$ Geothermal heat flux</td>
<td>55 – 308</td>
<td>mW m$^{-2}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$ Flexural rigidity</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^{20}$</td>
<td>N m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta t$ Time step</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta x_i$ Finite difference interval</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{3}$</td>
<td>m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_{\text{min}}$</td>
<td>-2183000</td>
<td>Gall stereographic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>-884000</td>
<td>Gall stereographic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_{\text{min}}$</td>
<td>6666000</td>
<td>Gall stereographic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>7315000</td>
<td>Gall stereographic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Area (\times 10^5) km(^2)</th>
<th>(\Delta (%))</th>
<th>Thickness (m)</th>
<th>(\Delta (%))</th>
<th>Volume (\times 10^5) km(^3)</th>
<th>(\Delta (%))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.615</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.582</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T - 2 , \degree C)</td>
<td>Pre-LGM</td>
<td>5.508</td>
<td>-1.91</td>
<td>1164</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>6.410</td>
<td>-2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T + 2 , \degree C)</td>
<td>MAAT</td>
<td>5.589</td>
<td>-0.47</td>
<td>1167</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>6.520</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_{\text{weert}} \times 10^3)</td>
<td>Sliding</td>
<td>5.537</td>
<td>-1.39</td>
<td>1236</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>6.845</td>
<td>3.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(A_{\text{weert}} \times 10^3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.466</td>
<td>-2.66</td>
<td>909</td>
<td>-22.48</td>
<td>4.967</td>
<td>-24.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1.5G) ((136-616, \text{mW,m}^2))</td>
<td>Geothermal heat flux</td>
<td>5.615</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1172</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>6.582</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.5G) ((34-154, \text{mW,m}^2))</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.616</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1173</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>6.586</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.25G) ((17-77, \text{mW,m}^2))</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.667</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1187</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>6.728</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.1G) ((7-31, \text{mW,m}^2))</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.577</td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>1229</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>6.856</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C - 0.1) ((+100%))</td>
<td>Calving</td>
<td>6.595</td>
<td>17.46</td>
<td>1166</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>7.692</td>
<td>16.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C + 0.1) ((-100%))</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.362</td>
<td>-22.31</td>
<td>1072</td>
<td>-8.58</td>
<td>4.675</td>
<td>-28.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL(_\text{max}) -50 m</td>
<td>Relative sea-level</td>
<td>5.777</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>1175</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>6.787</td>
<td>3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SL(_\text{max}) +50 m</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.985</td>
<td>-11.23</td>
<td>1127</td>
<td>-3.86</td>
<td>5.617</td>
<td>-14.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period (ka BP)</th>
<th>Total calving losses (Gt)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total surface melt (Gt)</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total volume loss (Gt)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21.80 – 18.00 (to present E coast)</td>
<td>63,601</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>77,060</td>
<td>54.8</td>
<td>140,636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.3 – 14.90 (pinned on coast)</td>
<td>8,686</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>16,150</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>24,831</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.90 – 14.16 (Bølling warming)</td>
<td>2,173</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>22,136</td>
<td>91.1</td>
<td>24,302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.16 – 10.00 (Late Glacial)</td>
<td>1,230</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>31,574</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>32,794</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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